

ANNUAL REPORT 2005

Audit of Intensive Care Units in Scotland.

Project Director:

Miss Fiona MacKirdy

Lead Clinician:

Dr Simon J Mackenzie



STAFF

Fiona MacKirdy, Project Director Annette Little, Information Officer, NHS Greater Glasgow Karen Lorenzetti, Statistician, NHS Greater Glasgow

SICSAG STEERING GROUP

Gillian Adey, Consultant, Aberdeen Simon J Mackenzie, Consultant, Edinburgh Fiona MacKirdy, Project Director Louie Plenderleith, Consultant, Glasgow Alfred J Shearer, Consultant, Dundee

The Steering Group is responsible to the Council of the Scottish Intensive Care Society, of which Dr Jim Dougall (Glasgow) is President.



A. CONTENTS

| А. | CONTENTS | 3 |
|------|--|---|
| В. | FIGURES | 1 |
| С. | TABLES | 5 |
| D. | ABBREVIATIONS | 5 |
| Е. | INTRODUCTION. | 7 |
| F. | RESULTS & DISCUSSION |) |
| F.1. | Intensive care demand. | 9 |
| F.2. | Source of admissions to Scottish ICUs | 1 |
| F.3. | Length of stay and bed usage2 | 5 |
| F.4. | Use of Augmented Care Period (ACP) data to determine levels of organ | 7 |
| | support and levels of care. | |
| | Ventilation | 9 |
| | Renal replacement therapy40 | 5 |
| | Pulmonary artery flotation catheters | 8 |
| | Inotropes/vasopressors5 | 1 |
| | Summary ACP data5. | 2 |
| | Levels of care | 3 |
| F.5. | Outcomes monitoring: Case mix-adjusted outcome and Statistical Process | 8 |
| | Control. | |
| F.6. | Progress of surveillance of healthcare associated infections, antimicotic prescribing | |
| | and resistance in ICUs in Scotland70 | 6 |
| G. | ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS8 | 1 |
| H. | REFERENCES | 2 |
| I. | APPENDICES | 1 |
| App | bendix I. Publications, Reports and Abstracts | 4 |
| App | bendix II. List of Scottish adult ICUs and the lead audit consultants during the period of | |
| repo | orting | 7 |



B. FIGURES

| Figure 1. Annual admission rates to Scottish ICUs, 1995 - 2004: a) in cohort of 20 units contributing | 3 |
|---|----------------------|
| throughout and b) all participating units. | 10 |
| Figure 2. Trends in annual admission rates: 2001-2004. | 10 |
| Figure 3. Trends in bed occupancies (%) in Scottish ICUs, 2001 - 2004. Figure 4. Scotland's annual | |
| ICU bed occupancies, 1996 - 2004. | 15 |
| Figure 4. Scotland's annual ICU bed occupancies, 1996 - 2004. | 16 |
| Figure 5. Trends in Scottish ICU winter bed occupancies: December - March | 16 |
| Figure 6 Trends in monthly bed occupancies (all units): 2001-2004 | 17 |
| Figure 7 Age distribution of admissions to Scottish ICUs in 2004 | 18 |
| Figure 8 Gender variation (Male Female) in admissions to Scottish ICUs in 2004 | 19 |
| Figure 9. Trend over time of the percentage of admissions by source to Scottish ICUs | 22 |
| Figure 10 Trend over time of the number of admissions by source to Scottish ICUs | 22 |
| Figure 11. Rate of admitting patients in to Scottish ICUs from other hospitals | 22 |
| Figure 12. Proportion of admissions to Scottish ICUs from other hospitals: 2002, 2003, & 2004 | 2 1 24 |
| Figure 12. I reportion of admissions to Scottish ICUs from other hospitals. 2002, 2005 & 2004 | 24 |
| Figure 15. Lengths of Staty III Scottish TCUS, 2004 (Inteal & Median). | ∠/ \D |
| Figure 14. Length of ICO stay, 2004 (median and inter-quartile range). Scottish median -2 days, IQ 0.01 ± 25 | 215 |
| U.91-5.25. | |
| Figure 15. Median lengths of ICU stay in Scottish ICUs, 2002 – 2004. | 29 |
| Figure 16. Mean lengths of ICU stay in Scottish ICUs, $2002 - 2004$. | 29 |
| Figure 17. Variation in ICU LOS and admission source (2004). | |
| Figure 18. Breakdown of proportions of admissions and bed days by admission source to Scottish IC | JUs |
| | 32 |
| Figure 19. Variation in ICU LOS and body system responsible for admission (APACHE II-scored | |
| patients in 2003). | 33 |
| Figure 20. Breakdown of proportions of admissions and bed days by system (APACHE II-scored | |
| patients in 2003). | 34 |
| Figure 21. Operative and non-operative LOS by system (APACHE II-scored patients in 2003) | 35 |
| Figure 22. Variation in ICU LOS and age (2004). | 36 |
| Figure 23. Proportion of patients ventilated on the first ACP day during 2004. | 40 |
| Figure 24. Proportion of patients ventilated at any time during 2004. | 40 |
| Figure 25. Proportion of ventilated patients in 2004 who are ventilated on day 1. | 43 |
| Figure 26. Proportion of all patients treated in Scottish ICUs in 2004 who are ventilated, per ACP da | ay. |
| Includes the ACP days in 2004 of patients admitted in 2003 whose stay continued into 2004 | 44 |
| Figure 27. Proportion of ACP days in which there is ventilatory support: 2004. Mean = 61.8% of AC | СР |
| days | 45 |
| Figure 28. Provision of renal replacement therapy in 2004. | 46 |
| Figure 29. Provision of renal replacement therapy in 2004. Proportion of patients in Scottish ICUs | |
| receiving RRT = 9.9%, utilising 9.8% of ACP days. | 47 |
| Figure 30. Proportion of patients with PAFC in situ on 1st day of ICU (mean = 4.8%) or at any time | ; |
| during ICU (mean = 8.3%): 2002. | 49 |
| Figure 31. Proportion of patients with PAFC <i>in situ</i> on 1st day of ICU (mean = 3.2%) or at any time | ; |
| during ICU (mean = 5.6%): 2003 | 49 |
| Figure 32 Proportion of patients with PAFC in situ on 1st day of ICU (mean = 2.7%) or at any time | |
| during ICI (mean = 4.7%): 2004 | 50 |
| Figure 33 Proportion of nations receiving instrones/vasonressors in Scottish ICUs: 2004 | 51 |
| Figure 34 Undated ACP dataset | 53 |
| Figure 35 Undated ACP screen: Display of number of days at levels 1, 2 or 3 | <i>55</i> 54 |
| Figure 36. Δ CP dataset 1000 \pm 2005 | |
| Figure 37. Levels of care determined from the ΔCP dataset 2004 | 55 56 |
| Figure 37. Levels of care determined from the ACD dataset 2004. | |
| Figure 30. Levels of care determined from the ACP detect 2002 | |
| Figure 37. Levels of cale determined from the ACF dataset, 2002. | |
| Figure 40. Intress sevency. Internal AFACITE II scores in 2002. Scottish methali. 19 (inter-quartile range: $15 \ gap (26)$ | 61 |
| 1ange. 13 & 20) | 01 |



| Figure 41. Illness severity: Median APACHE II scores in 2003. Scottish median: 19 (Inter-quartile range: 14 & 25). | .62 |
|---|------------|
| Figure 42. Median APACHE II probabilities in 24 units in 2003. Scottish median: 29 (Inter-quartile range: 12.2-52.5). | .63 |
| Figure 43. Mortality within subgroup of admissions in which APACHE II methodology applied: 200 | 13. .63 |
| Figure 44. Case mix adjusted SMRs (APACHE II model) in 24 ICUs in 2003. Mean: 0.983 (95% CIs 0.953-1.012). | s .64 |
| Figure 45. Scottish SMRs (APACHE II) 1995 – 2003. | .66 |
| Figure 46. Non-operative SMRs (APACHE II model) in 22 units in 2003. Mean: 1.046 (95% CIs 1.013-1.080). | .67 |
| Figure 47. Post-operative SMRs (APACHE II model) in 17 units in 2003. Mean: 0.840 (95% CIs 0.782-0.897). | .67 |
| Figure 48. Scottish SMRs by system (2003). | .70 |
| Figure 49. Run Chart from one Scottish ICU, 1998 – 2003. | .74 |
| Figure 50. Record of infections identified following HELICS/SICSAG protocol. | .77 |
| Figure 51. Daily dataset for pilot study. Figure 52. Blood stream infection dataset | .77 |
| Figure 52. Blood stream infection dataset. | . 78 |

C. TABLES

| Table 1. Annual admission rates to Scottish ICUs, 1996 – 2004. | 11 |
|---|------|
| Table 2. Number of ICU & HDU funded beds used to calculate occupancies in 2002 - 2004. | 13 |
| Table 3. Mean number of funded ICU beds in Scotland during 2001 - 2004. | 14 |
| Table 4. Summary demographic characteristics of all admissions to Scottish ICUs in 2002-2004. | 18 |
| Table 5. Gender variation (Male:Female) in admissions to Scottish ICUs in 2002-2004. | 20 |
| Table 6. Source of admissions to Scottish ICUs during 2004. | 23 |
| Table 7. Tabulated median and mean lengths of ICU stay, 2004. | _25 |
| Table 8. Tabulated median and mean lengths of ICU stay, 2003. | _26 |
| Table 9. Tabulated median and mean lengths of ICU stay, 2002. | _26 |
| Table 10. Variation in ICU LOS and admission source (2004). | _32 |
| Table 11. Proportion of patients and workload by system (APACHE II-scored patients in 2003). | _34 |
| Table 12. Variation in ICU LOS and age (2004). | 36 |
| Table 13. Six-year trend in proportion (%) of patients ventilated at any time in Scottish ICUs (1999- | |
| 2004) | _41 |
| Table 14. Six-year trend in proportion (%) of patients ventilated on 1 st ACP day in Scottish ICUs | |
| (1999-2004). | _42 |
| Table 15. Six-year trend in ventilation rates (1999-2004). | _43 |
| Table 16. Six-year trend in the rates of delivering RRT (1999-2004). | _47 |
| Table 17. Six-year trend in PAFC utilisation rates (1999-2004). | _48 |
| Table 18. Summary ACP data demonstrating the extent of organ support in each ICU during 2004. | |
| Includes patients admitted to ICU in 2003, still in ICU in 2004. | _52 |
| Table 19. Summary demographic characteristics of admissions with APACHE probabilities in 2003. | 60 |
| Table 20. Summary demographic characteristics of admissions with APACHE probabilities in 2002. | . 60 |
| Table 21. Annual variation in APACHE II SMRs. | _65 |
| Table 22. Variation in illness severity by system in all scored patients: 2002. | _68 |
| Table 23. Variation in illness severity by system in all scored patients: 2003. | _69 |
| Table 24. Comparison of SMRs within each system during 2001 – 2003. | _69 |
| Table 25. Post-operative admissions: variation in illness severity and length of ICU stay within each | |
| diagnostic category, 2003. | _71 |
| Table 26. Post-operative admissions: variation in illness severity and length of ICU stay within each | |
| diagnostic category, 2002. | _71 |
| Table 27. Non-operative admissions: variation in illness severity and length of ICU stay within each | |
| diagnostic category, 2003. | _72 |
| Table 28. Non-operative admissions: variation in illness severity and length of ICU stay within each | |
| diagnostic category, 2002. | _72 |
| N | |



D. ABBREVIATIONS

| ACP | Augmented Care Period |
|------------|--|
| APACHE | Acute Physiology (age) and Chronic Health Evaluation, version II (III) |
| BSI | Blood stream infection |
| CCDG | Critical Care Delivery Group |
| CVC-RI/CRI | Central venous catheter related infection |
| DDD | Daily defined doses |
| DGH | District General Hospital |
| HAI | Hospital Acquired Infection |
| HDU | High Dependency Unit |
| HELICS | Hospitals in Europe Link for Infection Control through Surveillance |
| HPS | Health Protection Scotland |
| ICNARC | Intensive Care National Audit and Research Centre |
| ICU | Intensive Care Unit |
| ICU/HDU | Combined ICU & HDU |
| IQR | Inter-quartile range |
| ISD | Information Services Division, NHS Scotland |
| LOS | Length of stay |
| PAFC | Pulmonary artery flotation catheter |
| PN | Pneumonia |
| RRT | Renal replacement therapy |
| SCIEH | Scottish Centre for Infection and Environmental Health |
| SEHD | Scottish Executive Health Department |
| SICS | Scottish Intensive Care Society |
| SICSAG | Scottish Intensive Care Society Audit Group |
| SMC | Scottish Medicines Consortium |
| SMR | Standardised mortality ratio |
| SPC | Statistical process control |
| TISS | Therapeutic Intervention Scoring System |



E. INTRODUCTION.

1. This is the 10th Annual Report of the Scottish Intensive Care Society Audit Group (SICSAG). It follows a similar format to recent years. Reported this year are complete data on intensive care unit (ICU) activity and outcome for 2003 and activity data for 2004. Outcome data for 2004 are not yet complete and will be included in the Annual Report in 2006.

2. To keep the report size manageable, unit-specific graphs and tables are not included but are given electronically to lead audit clinicians in each ICU. Data will complement those for Scotland included in this report. Locally, therefore, staff in each unit will be able to present and report on both local and national data.

3. The Scottish intensive care unit audit has grown from an ICU-only system, totally reliant on the APACHE II methodology [1], into a much more comprehensive audit of critical care activity in Scotland. The audit has been a success, not just in a narrow field, but also, in its contribution to the development of intensive care services, organisation and research in Scotland. It has been a source of information for planning of services by Health Boards, the Scottish Medicines Consortium (SMC), the Scottish Executive Health Department (SEHD) and the Chairs of Critical Care Delivery Groups (CCDGs). The audit infrastructure and the collaboration between ICUs has fostered cooperation between units; it has supported the development of both a trials group and an evidence based medicine/standards group. It has also contributed internationally to the methodologies used in audit and there is an extensive list of publications included in Appendix I. Nonetheless, the resources available are quite limited; the SICSAG is never able to do everything it might wish and must prioritise. Unavoidable staff absences have continued to be an issue and have further constrained what it can do.

4. A steering group supervises the audit on behalf of the Scottish Intensive Care Society (SICS). The present structure of the audit seems to have produced a strong feeling of clinical ownership but is dependent on piece-meal funding which makes

November 2005



staff recruitment, retention and development particularly challenging. The staffing lacks the critical mass to give long-term security. Closer links have been developed with the Information Services Division (ISD) of NHS Scotland and there has been a decision in principle by SICS Council that the long-term future of the SICSAG would be best secured within that organisation.

5. Since the last Annual Report [2], the audit group has been revising the minimum dataset, including the augmented care period (ACP) definitions, removing extraneous datafields, updating the diagnostic list, as well as piloting the audit of Healthcare Associated Infections (HAI) within a small number of volunteer ICUs. This has meant that there have been several software updates and we will be attempting to ensure that all units are on the same version as quickly as possible. Staffing issues have meant that the rollout of the updated software has not been as quick as would have been liked. Work has been commissioned to make better use of IT solutions.

6. Twenty-seven high dependency units (HDUs) participated for all or part of 2004, collecting a minimum dataset on Ward Watcher (Critical Care Audit Ltd, Yorkshire). Almost all data collection in the HDUs is conducted by nursing staff. No HDU results are included in this report. Only a few HDU consultants have demonstrated any great interest in the audit; the audit group and the local HDU staff greatly appreciate their continued support and advice.

7. The whole audit, including this report, is only possible as a result of the support of *all* participating staff in critical care in Scotland. In nearly all units, they bear the burden of data entry without any dedicated staffing. It is important that data are collected in both an accurate and timely manner and the audit group want to pay tribute to the staff who do this.



F. RESULTS & DISCUSSION

In all graphs * identifies District General Hospitals (DGHs) and ^ identifies combined HDU/ICUs during the period identified, unless stated differently. Appendix II contains a list of all participating units and the acronyms used to identify them in the workload and organ support figures.

F.1. Intensive care demand.

8. Figure 1 shows the trend in annual ICU admissions in all units, which have contributed data over the period 1995-2004, and in those 20 that have participated throughout this 10-year period. For the few units that joined the audit in the early months of 1995 the numbers of admissions were annualised for that year. The number of participating ICUs has remained stable since 2001; until then the increase in admissions was partially due to the increase in participating units. Details of each unit's participation are contained in Table 1.

9. Figure 2 and Table 1 demonstrate the annual number of admissions to individual ICUs since 1996. It can be seen that the overall increase in numbers is not evenly distributed across units. This is partly due to changes in available beds. Though there was very little increase in bed complement in 2004, increases made in 2003 were still working through. In particular, this explains the very large increase in admissions to the Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh. The increase in combined intensive care and high dependency care units (ICU/HDUs) that enter patients of both levels of dependency into the audit has made raw admission numbers difficult to interpret.







Figure 2. Trends in annual admission rates: 2001-2004.





| Unit | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
|--------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| ARI^ | 531 | 564 | 591 | 606 | 624 | 607 | 735 | 793 | 806 |
| Ayr* | | | 335 | 303 | 268 | 234 | 210 | 246 | 242 |
| BGH* | 314 | 298 | 335 | 375 | 322 | 301 | 329 | 337 | 404 |
| CH* | 378 | 353 | 350 | 364 | 320 | 349 | 287 | 278 | 267 |
| DGRI* | | | 279 | 405 | 392 | 298 | 273 | 276 | 334 |
| FDRI*^ | 466 | 530 | 126 | | | 482 | 508 | 550 | 560 |
| GRI | | 429 | 436 | 384 | 387 | 385 | 317 | 319 | 310 |
| HM*^ | | | | 145 | 504 | 475 | 398 | 485 | 411 |
| IRH* | 196 | 212 | 224 | 188 | 156 | 148 | 165 | 116 | 114 |
| MK* | 290 | 268 | 323 | 331 | 334 | 284 | 297 | 252 | 265 |
| NW | 282 | 277 | 297 | 337 | 339 | 332 | 310 | 330 | 327 |
| PRI* | 236 | 222 | 204 | 190 | 236 | 170 | 159 | 186 | 150 |
| QMH* | 471 | 475 | 549 | 407 | 354 | 327 | 367 | 390 | 374 |
| RAH* | 320 | 387 | 372 | 426 | 359 | 278 | 288 | 276 | 316 |
| RIE^ | 600 | 576 | 546 | 651 | 655 | 702 | 643 | 865 | 1123 |
| RM* | 247 | 229 | 262 | | | 317 | 336 | 326 | 374 |
| SGH | 287 | 287 | 245 | 250 | 280 | 228 | 231 | 255 | 302 |
| SH | 255 | 267 | 225 | 242 | 236 | 260 | 207 | 210 | 218 |
| SRI* | 209 | 161 | 145 | 177 | 219 | 192 | 183 | 171 | 215 |
| St. J* | 236 | 201 | 223 | 260 | 281 | 248 | 241 | 261 | 218 |
| VHK* | 250 | 232 | 329 | 271 | 204 | 206 | 161 | 143 | 123 |
| VIG | 321 | 303 | 289 | 318 | 317 | 352 | 313 | 294 | 313 |
| VOL*^ | 203 | 199 | 197 | 247 | 185 | 188 | 208 | 158 | 128 |
| WGH | 384 | 316 | 328 | 339 | 383 | 358 | 369 | 449 | 453 |
| WIG^ | 403 | 466 | 476 | 456 | 446 | 439 | 417 | 402 | 433 |
| Wish*^ | 183 | 210 | 239 | 266 | 239 | 469 | 796 | 751 | 739 |

 Table 1. Annual admission rates to Scottish ICUs, 1996 – 2004.

FDRI 1998: Participated January – March 1998.

GRI 1997: Participation began in 1997. 344 records were imported into central database, however, data were collected retrospectively on GRI's own database to complete the year's total of 429 admissions.

HM 1999: 145 records for participation late in 1999.



10. Table 2 identifies the fluctuation in funded bed numbers during 2002 – 2004, in some instances monthly. A number of units have now both ICU (level 3) beds and HDU (level 2) beds located in the same unit, as indicated in Table 2. In these units, all consecutive admissions, whether level 3 or level 2, are entered onto the audit software. To determine bed occupancy as accurately as possible, therefore, SICSAG must know the correct number of available funded beds in each unit, whether level 2 or level 3. Obtaining reliable information can be surprisingly difficult and the audit group relies on senior staff in each ICU providing details of any changes, particularly increases in funded beds over the winter months. In the ICU/HDUs, the total numbers of funded beds are used to derive bed occupancy. As some units have to convert 2 HDU beds to accommodate 1 extra ICU admission, this methodology inevitably overestimates bed availability so underestimating bed occupancy.

11. The number of available ICU beds has risen very slightly from 144.5 in December 2003 to 146.8 in December 2004 (Table 2), However, because of the fluctuation of bed numbers, it is necessary to calculate the mean number of funded ICU beds over each year for Scotland to calculate occupancy. There has been an increase in the mean number of ICU beds in Scotland from 112 beds in 1996 to 145.4 in 2004 (Table 3).

12. Trends in annual bed occupancies per unit are demonstrated in Figure 3. Each unit's percentage annual bed occupancy is derived from the mean of the twelve monthly occupancies for each year, based on the total number of funded beds identified to SICSAG (Table 2) for each month. The most noticeable change is seen in the occupancy at Inverclyde Royal Hospital, which has fallen from 83% in 2003 to 51% in 2004 (Figure 3). This, and other units' occupancies are discussed further in section F.3.



Table 2. Number of ICU & HDU funded beds used to calculate occupancies in2002 - 2004.

| Unit | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | |
|--------|---|--|---|--|
| ARI^ | 10 ICU (Jan-Mar); 12 ICU+4HDU (Apr-Dec) | 12 ICU+4HDU | 12 ICU+4HDU | |
| Ayr* | 4 | 4 | 4 | |
| BGH* | 3 (Jan-Sep); 4 (Oct-Dec) | 4 (Jan-Mar & Oct-Dec); 3 (Apr-Sep) | 4 | |
| СН* | 5 | 5 (Jan-Oct); 5.5 (Nov-Dec) | 5.5 | |
| DGRI* | 6 (Jan-Mar); 4 (Apr-Dec) | 4 | 4 | |
| FDRI*^ | 5 ICU + 3 HDU | 5 ICU + 3 HDU | 5 ICU + 3 HDU | |
| GRI | 7 | 7 | 7 | |
| HM*^ | 5 ICU + 2 HDU | 5 ICU + 2 HDU | 5.25 ICU + 1.75 HDU | |
| IRH* | 2 | 2 (Jan-Apr); 3 (May-Dec) | 3 | |
| MK* | 5 (Jan-Nov); 6 (Dec) | 6 (Jan-Mar & Dec); 5 (Apr-Nov) | 6 (Jan-Mar & Dec); 5 (Apr-Nov) | |
| NW | 7 | 7 | 7 | |
| PRI* | 3 | 3 | 3 | |
| QMH* | 7 | 7 | 7 | |
| RAH* | 4 | 4 (Jan-Oct); 6 (Nov-Dec) | 6 | |
| RIE^ | 12 (Jan-Mar); 11 (Apr-Dec) | 12 ICU (Jan-Mar); 11 ICU (Apr); 11 ICU+ 6 HDU (May-Dec) | 12 ICU + 6 HDU (Jan-Sept); 13 ICU+ 5 HDU (Oct-Dec) | |
| RM* | 7 (Jan-Mar); 6 (Apr-Dec) | 6 | 6 (Jan-Mar); 7 (Apr-Dec) | |
| SGH | 5 | 5 | 5 | |
| SH | 5 | 6 | 6 (Jan-Oct); 5 (Nov-Dec) | |
| SRI* | 4 | 4 | 4 | |
| St. J* | 4 | 4 | 5 (Jan-Mar); 4 (Apr-Dec) | |
| VHK* | 3 | 3 | 3 | |
| VIG | 5 | 5 | 5 | |
| VOL*^ | 2 ICU + 2 HDU | 2 ICU + 2 HDU (Jan-Sep); 2 ICU + 1 HDU (Oct-Dec) | 1 ICU + 2 HDU | |
| WGH | 8 | 8 | 8 (Jan-Sept); 9 (Oct-Dec) | |
| WIG^ | 7 ICU (Jan-Mar); 7 ICU + 2 HDU (Apr-Dec) | 7 ICU + 2 HDU | 7 ICU + 2 HDU | |
| Wish*^ | 5 ICU + 7 HDU | 5 ICU + 7 HDU | 5 ICU + 7 HDU (Jan-Jun); 5 ICU + 6 HDU (Jul-Dec) | |



Table 3. Mean number of funded ICU beds in Scotland during 2001 - 2004.

| | | Mean number of funded ICU beds | | | | | |
|------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|-------|--------|--|--|
| HEALIH BOAKD | HUSPITAL | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | | |
| Argyll & Clyde | Inverclyde Royal Hospital | 2 | 2 | 2.7 | 3 | | |
| | Royal Alexandra Hospital | 4 | 4 | 4.3 | 6 | | |
| | Vale of Leven DGH | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | | |
| | Total for Health Board | 8 | 8 | 9 | 10 | | |
| Ayrshire & Arran | Ayr Hospital | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | Crosshouse Hospital | 5 | 5 | 5.1 | 5.5 | | |
| | Total for Health Board | 9 | 9 | 9.1 | 9.5 | | |
| Borders | Borders General Hospital | 3 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4 | | |
| | Total for Health Board | 3 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4 | | |
| Dumfries & | Dumfries Royal Infirmary | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Galloway | Total for Health Board | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Fife | Victoria Hospital Kirkcaldy | 3.9 | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | Queen Margaret Hospital | 6.1 | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | Total for Health Board | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | | |
| Forth Valley | Stirling Royal Infirmary | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | | |
| · · | Falkirk Royal Infirmary | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | Total for Health Board | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | | |
| Grampian | Aberdeen Royal Infirmary | 9.3 | 11.5 | 12 | 12 | | |
| | Total for Health Board | 9.3 | 11.5 | 12 | 12 | | |
| Greater Glasgow | Glasgow Royal Infirmary | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | Southern General Hospital | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | Stobhill Hospital | 5 | 5 | 6 | 5.8 | | |
| | Victoria Infirmary | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | Western Infirmary | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | Total for Health Board | 29 | 29 | 30 | 29.8 | | |
| Highland | Raigmore Hospital | 6.1 | 6.3 | 6 | 6.75 | | |
| | Total for Health Board | 6.1 | 6.3 | 6 | 6.75 | | |
| Lanarkshire | Hairmyres Hospital | 5.3 | 5 | 5 | 5.25 | | |
| | Wishaw (Law) Hospital | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | Monklands Hospital | 5.3 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 5.3 | | |
| | Total for Health Board | 15.5 | 15.1 | 15.3 | 15.55 | | |
| Lothian | Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh | 11.3 | 11.3 | 11.3 | 12.25 | | |
| | Western General Hospital | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8.25 | | |
| | St. John's Hospital | 4.3 | 4 | 4 | 4.25 | | |
| | Total for Health Board | 23.6 | 23.3 | 23.3 | 24.75 | | |
| Tayside | Ninewells Hospital | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | | |
| | Perth Royal Infirmary | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | Total for Health Board | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | | |
| | SCOTLAND | 136.5 | 138.5 | 141.2 | 145.35 | | |



13. The limited increase in funded beds has resulted in a small fall in average occupancy over the last few years from 80% in 2002 to 74% in 2004 (Figure 4). It is important to note that this is measured on a minute-to-minute basis. Nineteen (73%) units had average occupancies of at least 70% during 2004. The fall in occupancy must be interpreted in the light of the increasing numbers of HDU patients entered into the audit, referred to in Paragraph 10. If these were elective surgical patients then a reduction in occupancy would be expected, as elective surgery does not take place at weekends.



Figure 3. Trends in bed occupancies (%) in Scottish ICUs, 2001 - 2004.





Figure 4. Scotland's annual ICU bed occupancies, 1996 - 2004.

14. The period between December and March is a time when it is thought ICUs are most consistently under pressure. Figure 5 details the trend in winter occupancy from 1996 - 2004. January 2000 remains exceptional. Figure 6, however, demonstrates the continuous pressure on ICU resources throughout the year, though January or February have been the busiest months in four of the last five years.



Figure 5. Trends in Scottish ICU winter bed occupancies: December - March.

November 2005





Figure 6. Trends in monthly bed occupancies (all units): 2001-2004.

15. Summary characteristics of admissions during 2002 to 2004 are given in Table 4. There has been little change in this period.

16. In the majority of patients, the ultimate hospital outcome is discharge from the admitting hospital. However, a number of patients are transferred to another hospital either for an increase in care or for step-down care. Where no ultimate hospital outcome is available for an ICU episode on a unit's database, because the patient has been transferred, extensive collaboration between SICSAG and ISD exists to provide record linkage to the Scottish Morbidity Records. This linkage enables the outcome recorded at the end of the continuous in-patient stay in the Scottish Morbidity Record to be used as the ultimate outcome. If the linkage fails, the hospital outcome on the ICU record is used.



| Table 4. Summary | demographic | characteristics | of | all | admissions | to | Scottish |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------------|----|-----|------------|----|----------|
| ICUs in 2002-2004. | | | | | | | |

| | All admissions 2002 | All admissions 2003 | All admissions 2004 |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Ν | 8748 | 9119 | 9519 |
| Operative (%) | 41.6 | 41.2 | 43.0 |
| Non-operative (%) | 58.4 | 58.8 | 57.0 |
| Male (%) | 55.8 | 54.5 | 55.6 |
| Female (%) | 44.2 | 45.5 | 44.4 |
| Age (y) (Mean) | 58.9 | 59.2 | 59.0 |
| Age (y) (Range) | 0-103 | 0-102 | 0-103 |
| Length of ICU Stay (d) (Mean) | 5.2 | 5.0 | 4.8 |
| Length of ICU Stay (d) (Median) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Length of ICU Stay (d) (Range) | 177.7 | 119.0 | 201.4 |
| ICU Mortality (%) | 21.9 | 21.3 | |
| Hospital Mortality (%) | 29.4 | 29 | not available |
| Ultimate Hospital Mortality (%) | 32.2 | 32.3 | |

17. The distribution of admissions by age is shown in Figure $\underline{7}$.





18. For the fist time we have looked at gender variation (Figure 8 and Table 5) Overall, in common with the published literature, Scotland has preponderance of male admissions, but there is surprising variation between units.

November 2005



Figure 8. Gender variation (Male:Female) in admissions to Scottish ICUs in 2004.





| Table 5. | Gender | variation (| (Male:Female) |) in admis | sions to S | Scottish I | CUs in 2 | 2002- |
|----------|--------|-------------|---------------|------------|------------|------------|----------|-------|
| 2004. | | | | | | | | |

| | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
|----------|------|------|------|
| SRI* | 1.03 | 1.41 | 0.84 |
| BGH* | 1.14 | 0.77 | 0.88 |
| VHK* | 0.64 | 0.91 | 0.95 |
| VOL*^ | 0.76 | 0.74 | 0.97 |
| SH | 0.99 | 1.16 | 1.00 |
| PRI* | 1.15 | 1.09 | 1.05 |
| HM*^ | 1.25 | 1.10 | 1.07 |
| St. J* | 1.03 | 1.04 | 1.08 |
| CH* | 1.43 | 1.28 | 1.10 |
| RAH* | 1.10 | 1.34 | 1.12 |
| Wish*^ | 1.16 | 0.98 | 1.19 |
| QMH* | 1.27 | 0.92 | 1.20 |
| FDRI*^ | 1.30 | 1.08 | 1.26 |
| MK* | 1.36 | 1.60 | 1.28 |
| NW | 1.44 | 1.32 | 1.29 |
| WIG^ | 1.66 | 1.34 | 1.30 |
| VIG | 1.30 | 1.51 | 1.32 |
| SGH | 1.26 | 1.02 | 1.32 |
| WGH | 1.22 | 1.28 | 1.32 |
| DGRI* | 1.37 | 1.38 | 1.37 |
| Ayr* | 1.47 | 1.18 | 1.37 |
| RIE^ | 1.44 | 1.38 | 1.42 |
| IRH* | 1.12 | 0.93 | 1.43 |
| ARI^ | 1.47 | 1.51 | 1.46 |
| RM* | 1.23 | 1.31 | 1.58 |
| GRI | 1.54 | 1.38 | 1.67 |
| SCOTLAND | 1.26 | 1.20 | 1.25 |



F.2. Source of admissions to Scottish ICUs.

19. Previous reports have demonstrated trends over time in the sources from which patients are admitted in to Scottish ICUs. The dataset requires source of admission to be recorded for every admission as follows (datafield = "Admitted from (type)"):

- 01. A&E in this hospital
- 02. Recovery/theatre in this hospital
- 03. Recovery only, in this hospital
- 04. Ward in this hospital
- 05. ICU in this hospital
- 06. HDU in this hospital
- 07. Other intermediate care area (not ICU or HDU) in this hospital
- 08. X-Ray, endoscopy suite, CT scanner or similar in this hospital
- 09. ICU in another hospital
- 10. HDU in another hospital
- 11. Other area in another hospital (not ICU or HDU)
- 12. Normal residence

The sources 03, 04, 07 & 08 above have been amalgamated into the category "Ward this hosp.", in Figures 9 and 10 and Table 6. Source 11 is "Ward other hosp." and 12 is "Home".

20. Figures 9 and 10 show that the reduction in the percentage and absolute numbers of patients admitted to ICU from theatre between 1998 and 2000 has reversed and, in fact, the number admitted from theatre was greatest in 2004. While the relative increase in numbers from ward and HDU in the same hospital has levelled off, admissions from A&E continue to rise. There continues to be a large variation in admission source between hospitals (Table 6).







Figure 10. Trend over time of the number of admissions by source to Scottish ICUs.



| Γ | The | atre | Ward th | is hosp. | Að | kЕ | HDU th | is hosp. | Ward ot | her hosp. | ICU oth | er hosp. | HDU ot | ner hosp. | ICU th | is hosp. | Ho | me |
|----------|------|------|---------|----------|------|------|--------|----------|---------|-----------|---------|----------|--------|-----------|--------|----------|----|-----|
| | Ν | % | Ν | % | Ν | % | Ν | % | Ν | % | Ν | % | Ν | % | Ν | % | Ν | % |
| ARI^ | 270 | 33.5 | 301 | 37.3 | 59 | 7.3 | 64 | 7.9 | 71 | 8.8 | 11 | 1.4 | 17 | 2.1 | 13 | 1.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Ayr* | 116 | 47.9 | 42 | 17.4 | 43 | 17.8 | 21 | 8.7 | 12 | 5.0 | 7 | 2.9 | 1 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| BGH* | 224 | 55.4 | 139 | 34.4 | 38 | 9.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| CH* | 104 | 39.0 | 47 | 17.6 | 60 | 22.5 | 36 | 13.5 | 11 | 4.1 | 7 | 2.6 | 2 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| DGRI* | 206 | 61.7 | 38 | 11.4 | 36 | 10.8 | 49 | 14.7 | 5 | 1.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| FDRI*^ | 309 | 55.2 | 123 | 22.0 | 85 | 15.2 | 10 | 1.8 | 4 | 0.7 | 9 | 1.6 | 2 | 0.4 | 15 | 2.7 | 3 | 0.5 |
| GRI | 103 | 33.2 | 67 | 21.6 | 47 | 15.2 | 43 | 13.9 | 20 | 6.5 | 24 | 7.7 | 3 | 1.0 | 3 | 1.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| HM*^ | 210 | 51.1 | 98 | 23.8 | 49 | 11.9 | 33 | 8.0 | 6 | 1.5 | 13 | 3.2 | 1 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| IRH* | 32 | 28.1 | 33 | 28.9 | 30 | 26.3 | 16 | 14.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.8 | 1 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| MK* | 106 | 40.0 | 75 | 28.3 | 53 | 20.0 | 22 | 8.3 | 6 | 2.3 | 2 | 0.8 | 1 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| NW | 148 | 45.3 | 72 | 22.0 | 54 | 16.5 | 41 | 12.5 | 2 | 0.6 | 9 | 2.8 | 1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| PRI* | 85 | 56.7 | 14 | 9.3 | 27 | 18.0 | 15 | 10.0 | 7 | 4.7 | 1 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.7 |
| QMH* | 179 | 47.9 | 44 | 11.8 | 67 | 17.9 | 52 | 13.9 | 17 | 4.5 | 14 | 3.7 | 1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| RAH* | 129 | 40.8 | 74 | 23.4 | 63 | 19.9 | 33 | 10.4 | 6 | 1.9 | 9 | 2.8 | 2 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| RIE^ | 392 | 34.9 | 193 | 17.2 | 320 | 28.5 | 118 | 10.5 | 34 | 3.0 | 38 | 3.4 | 20 | 1.8 | 8 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.0 |
| RM* | 162 | 43.3 | 77 | 20.6 | 55 | 14.7 | 58 | 15.5 | 14 | 3.7 | 2 | 0.5 | 6 | 1.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| SGH | 105 | 34.8 | 47 | 15.6 | 83 | 27.5 | 30 | 9.9 | 22 | 7.3 | 9 | 3.0 | 2 | 0.7 | 4 | 1.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| SH | 51 | 23.4 | 51 | 23.4 | 18 | 8.3 | 24 | 11.0 | 44 | 20.2 | 24 | 11.0 | 6 | 2.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| SRI* | 91 | 42.3 | 42 | 19.5 | 41 | 19.1 | 25 | 11.6 | 7 | 3.3 | 9 | 4.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| St. J* | 58 | 26.6 | 49 | 22.5 | 65 | 29.8 | 32 | 14.7 | 5 | 2.3 | 9 | 4.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| VHK* | 10 | 8.1 | 56 | 45.5 | 40 | 32.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 7.3 | 8 | 6.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| VIG | 68 | 21.7 | 79 | 25.2 | 96 | 30.7 | 30 | 9.6 | 26 | 8.3 | 13 | 4.2 | 1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| VOL*^ | 37 | 28.9 | 40 | 31.3 | 47 | 36.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.8 | 1 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.8 | 1 | 0.8 |
| WGH | 148 | 32.7 | 88 | 19.4 | 60 | 13.2 | 87 | 19.2 | 50 | 11.0 | 19 | 4.2 | 1 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| WIG^ | 131 | 30.3 | 123 | 28.4 | 76 | 17.6 | 33 | 7.6 | 36 | 8.3 | 22 | 5.1 | 8 | 1.8 | 4 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Wish*^ | 451 | 61.0 | 156 | 21.1 | 91 | 12.3 | 22 | 3.0 | 7 | 0.9 | 10 | 1.4 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.1 |
| Scotland | 3925 | 43.0 | 2168 | 23.8 | 1703 | 18.7 | 894 | 9.8 | 422 | 4.6 | 275 | 3.0 | 77 | 0.8 | 49 | 0.5 | 6 | 0.1 |

Table 6. Source of admissions to Scottish ICUs during 2004.



21. Transfers between hospitals were dealt with in great detail in last year's report and this detail will not be repeated this year [2]. It can be seen from Figure 11, that the overall numbers involved have not changed. This is supported by Figure 12, which shows that, while there is considerable variation between hospitals, there has been little change in the proportion admitted form other hospitals between 2003 and 2004.



Figure 11. Rate of admitting patients in to Scottish ICUs from other hospitals.

Figure 12. Proportion of admissions to Scottish ICUs from other hospitals: 2002, 2003 & 2004.





F.3. Length of stay and bed usage.

22. Tables 7 to 9 and Figures 13 to 16 show ICU lengths of stay (LOS) in the most recent calendar year, 2004, as well as the two preceding years. Although median LOS is the more appropriate way to describe the data (LOS is not normally distributed), both measures have value. The mean LOS can be heavily influenced by a small number of patients with a prolonged ICU stay. The practical consequences within a unit are to markedly increase occupancy and reduce the number of beds available for new admissions, with potential impact on the service. The effect can be especially marked in smaller units.

23. Median LOS has been stable year-on-year in Scotland, at 2.0 d, while the mean has fallen slightly to 4.8 d in 2004 from 5.2 d in 2002 (Tables 7 to 9).

| U : 4 | | | ICU LOS | 6(d) | | |
|-----------|---------|------------|------------|------|------------|---------|
| Unit | M edian | Lower IQ R | Upper IQ R | Mean | M inim u m | Maximum |
| ARI^ | 2.0 | 0.9 | 5.4 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 57.8 |
| Ayr* | 1.8 | 0.9 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 37.0 |
| BGH* | 1.2 | 0.7 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 32.4 |
| СН* | 1.8 | 0.8 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 201.4 |
| DGRI* | 2.1 | 0.9 | 5.0 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 38.5 |
| FDRI*^ | 2.9 | 1.0 | 5.7 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 112.0 |
| GRI | 2.6 | 0.9 | 8.7 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 110.8 |
| H M * ^ | 2.1 | 1.1 | 5.3 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 76.0 |
| IRH* | 1.7 | 0.6 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 0.1 | 50.3 |
| M K * | 1.5 | 0.7 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 0.1 | 81.0 |
| N W | 2.6 | 1.0 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 79.0 |
| PRI* | 1.9 | 1.0 | 3.4 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 71.5 |
| Q M H * | 1.8 | 1.0 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 51.4 |
| RAH* | 1.8 | 0.8 | 5.7 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 40.9 |
| RIE ^ | 1.9 | 0.8 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 54.4 |
| R M * | 1.9 | 0.9 | 5.1 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 50.6 |
| S G H | 2.1 | 0.9 | 6.1 | 4.7 | 0.1 | 39.4 |
| SH | 2.6 | 1.0 | 8.0 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 58.7 |
| SRI* | 2.0 | 0.9 | 6.7 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 63.2 |
| St. J* | 1.9 | 0.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 49.1 |
| V H K * | 1.8 | 0.8 | 3.9 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 71.8 |
| VIG | 2.2 | 0.8 | 4.9 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 33.9 |
| V O L * ^ | 1.7 | 0.9 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 92.5 |
| WGH | 2.8 | 1.0 | 7.2 | 5.8 | 0.1 | 48.6 |
| WIG^ | 2.2 | 1.0 | 5.7 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 47.8 |
| W ish * ^ | 2.6 | 1.1 | 5.1 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 76.8 |
| Scotland | 2.0 | 0.9 | 5.3 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 201.4 |

Table 7. Tabulated median and mean lengths of ICU stay, 2004.



| Unit | | | ICU L | OS(d) | | |
|-----------|---------|------------|------------|-------|---------|---------|
| Unit | M edian | Lower IQ R | Upper IQ R | Mean | Minimum | Maximum |
| ARI^ | 1.7 | 0.8 | 5.1 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 54.8 |
| Ayr* | 1.8 | 0.8 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 0.1 | 35.0 |
| BGH* | 1.4 | 0.7 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 51.6 |
| C H * | 1.7 | 0.9 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 51.5 |
| DGRI* | 2.0 | 0.8 | 5.3 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 44.8 |
| FDRI*^ | 2.4 | 1.0 | 5.6 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 57.9 |
| GRI | 2.9 | 0.9 | 8.6 | 7.3 | 0.0 | 117.9 |
| H M * ^ | 1.9 | 1.0 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 101.3 |
| IRH * | 3.2 | 1.2 | 8.3 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 78.0 |
| MK* | 1.9 | 0.9 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 67.0 |
| NW | 2.8 | 1.3 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 59.2 |
| PRI* | 2.0 | 0.9 | 3.8 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 37.3 |
| QMH* | 1.7 | 0.9 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 98.9 |
| RAH* | 2.0 | 0.8 | 6.0 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 47.1 |
| R M * | 2.1 | 0.9 | 6.0 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 56.4 |
| RIE ^ | 1.8 | 0.8 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 111.2 |
| SGH | 2.1 | 0.9 | 6.8 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 62.7 |
| SH | 2.8 | 1.0 | 8.3 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 107.7 |
| SRI* | 2.1 | 0.9 | 6.3 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 52.7 |
| St. J* | 1.7 | 0.8 | 4.8 | 5.9 | 0.1 | 84.7 |
| VHK* | 1.8 | 0.8 | 3.6 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 71.5 |
| VIG | 2.0 | 0.7 | 6.5 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 59.2 |
| VOL*^ | 2.0 | 1.0 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 0.2 | 119.0 |
| WGH | 2.3 | 0.9 | 7.6 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 47.2 |
| WIG^ | 2.5 | 0.9 | 6.2 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 43.4 |
| W ish * ^ | 2.0 | 1.0 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 63.0 |
| Scotland | 2.0 | 0.9 | 5.4 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 119.0 |

| Table 8. | Tabulated | median and | mean | lengths | of ICU | stav. 2003. |
|----------|-----------|------------|------|----------|--------|---------------------|
| 1 4010 0 | I upulutu | mount and | moun | iensens. | 01100 | stay, 2 000. |

Table 9. Tabulated median and mean lengths of ICU stay, 2002.

| T T •4 | ICU LOS(d) | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|------------|------------|------------|------|---------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Unit | Median | Lower IQ R | Upper IQ R | Mean | Minimum | Maximum | | | | |
| ARI^ | 1.9 | 0.8 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 82.6 | | | | |
| Ayr* | 1.8 | 0.8 | 4.3 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 48.9 | | | | |
| BGH* | 1.3 | 0.6 | 3.2 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 177.7 | | | | |
| СН* | 2.0 | 0.9 | 6.1 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 103.8 | | | | |
| DGRI* | 2.0 | 1.0 | 5.5 | 4.8 | 0.1 | 53.7 | | | | |
| FDRI*^ | 2.8 | 1.0 | 5.9 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 69.2 | | | | |
| GRI | 3.0 | 0.9 | 7.8 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 59.7 | | | | |
| H M * ^ | 2.1 | 1.0 | 4.8 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 70.3 | | | | |
| IRH* | 1.3 | 0.7 | 5.6 | 5.3 | 0.1 | 80.5 | | | | |
| M K * | 1.2 | 0.7 | 4.1 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 75.2 | | | | |
| NW | 2.9 | 1.1 | 8.8 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 127.2 | | | | |
| PRI* | 2.1 | 1.1 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 0.1 | 52.1 | | | | |
| QMH* | 1.8 | 0.8 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 71.0 | | | | |
| RAH* | 1.6 | 0.8 | 3.9 | 4.4 | 0.1 | 61.0 | | | | |
| RIE | 1.8 | 0.8 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 88.9 | | | | |
| R M * | 1.8 | 0.8 | 4.6 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 108.0 | | | | |
| SGH | 2.5 | 0.9 | 7.4 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 45.0 | | | | |
| SH | 2.9 | 0.7 | 9.3 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 66.6 | | | | |
| SRI* | 1.8 | 0.9 | 4.8 | 5.7 | 0.1 | 69.9 | | | | |
| St. J* | 1.7 | 0.8 | 4.7 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 169.2 | | | | |
| VHK* | 2.0 | 1.0 | 5.2 | 4.8 | 0.1 | 33.1 | | | | |
| VIG | 2.0 | 0.8 | 6.0 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 45.0 | | | | |
| VOL*^ | 2.1 | 1.0 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 0.1 | 50.1 | | | | |
| WGH | 3.9 | 1.5 | 10.2 | 7.2 | 0.0 | 84.3 | | | | |
| WIG^ | 2.2 | 1.0 | 6.8 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 49.8 | | | | |
| W ish * ^ | 2.0 | 1.0 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 40.0 | | | | |
| Scotland | 2.0 | 0.9 | 5.6 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 177.7 | | | | |



24. Figure 13 demonstrates the variation of LOS between units, the mean ranging from 2.9 d in Borders General Hospital (BGH) to 7.1 d in Glasgow Royal Infirmary (GRI).





25. Figure 14 displays each unit's median LOS and associated inter-quartile ranges. The upper percentile is the point below which 75% of lengths of stay lie in each unit.



Figure 14. Length of ICU stay, 2004 (median and inter-quartile range). Scottish median = 2 days, IQR 0.91-5.25.



26. Figures 15 and 16 and Tables 7 to 9 provide detailed information on each unit's length of stay. The decreases in median and mean lengths of stay at Western General Hospital, Edinburgh (WGH) were discussed in last year's report [2].

27. There are differences during the years 2002 to 2004 in a few other units, which are worthy of note. At Inverclyde Royal Hospital's (IRH) ICU, a decrease in bed occupancy, from 83% to 51%, between 2003 and 2004 was highlighted in section F.1. The numbers of admissions in these two years, however, had altered little (116 and 114 in 2003 and 2004 respectively) (Table 1). Instead, the reduction in occupancy may be attributable to the reduced LOS, of almost 2 days on average, observed in this unit for admissions during 2003 and 2004 (mean & median of 6.6 d & 3.2 d *versus* 4.7 d & 1.7 d) (Tables 7 to 8).





Figure 15. Median lengths of ICU stay in Scottish ICUs, 2002 – 2004.

Figure 16. Mean lengths of ICU stay in Scottish ICUs, 2002 – 2004.





28. In Stobhill Hospital, the ICU's admission numbers were 207, 210 & 218 during the years 2002, 2003 and 2004 (Table 1). There has, nevertheless, been a fall in this unit's bed occupancy during the same period, from 79.5% in 2002 to 59.5% in 2004 (Figure 3). This may be attributed partly by an increase in the number of funded beds in these years by approximately 1, to 6 in 2003 and an average of 5.8 in 2004 (Tables 2 and 3), with only a small increase in admissions. Another contributing factor to the reduction in bed occupancy would appear to be a fall in the LOS during the same period (Figures 15 & 16 and Tables 7 to 9). In this unit, admissions during 2004 had an average LOS of 5.9 d (Table 7), 1.6 d less than those admitted in 2002 (Table 9). (Note, bed occupancy includes admissions in the previous year still in ICU whereas the numbers of admissions and the LOS reported in Tables 7 to 9 refer to those admissions in the relevant year only.)

29. In Wishaw, the number of admissions to the ICU/HDU was 796 in 2002. This rate has fallen to 739 in 2004 (Table 1). In the same time period, however, the bed occupancy has risen by approximately 10% to 80.9% in 2004 (69.7% and 68.4% in 2002 and 2003) (Figure 3). There have been corresponding increases in the length of stay of the admissions in this unit over the same time period, from an average of 3.8 d in 2002 (1.4 d less than the Scottish average) to 4.7 d in 2004 (Figure 16 and Tables 7 to 9). The median LOS rose to 2.6 d in 2004 from 2.0 in the two preceding years (Figure 15 and Tables 7 to 9).

30. The ICU in the Borders has seen an increase from an average of 3.3 beds to 4 beds between 2003 and 2004 (Tables 2 and 3). There has also been a decrease in the LOS from a mean of 3.9 d in 2002 to 2.9 d in 2004, 1.9 d less than the national average (Figure 16 and Tables 7 to 9). With the increase in bed numbers and reduced LOS, there has been an associated increase in admissions from 329 to 404 between 2002 and 2004 (Table 1). Even with the increase in admission rate, the annual bed occupancy has now fallen below 96% for the first time since the audit began (112%, 128%, 96%, 102%, 118%, 107%, 110%, 98% and 83.5% *per annum*, from 1996 to 2004 respectively). (Note, the number of funded beds, not the number of physical beds is used to calculate occupancy)

November 2005



31. It is known from previous years' data that LOS varies with source of admission. This is confirmed in Figure 17 and Table 10, which show patients admitted from A&E have the shortest LOS followed by admissions from theatre, while patients transferred from other ICUs have the longest. The reasons for this are not known but transfers from areas able to provide at least HDU care (ICU or HDU in same or another hospital) have the longest stays, so patients with potentially short stays may have been selected out of this group. This also results in workload, as measured by bed days rather than admission numbers, showing a slightly different pattern. Admissions from theatre generate less workload than their numbers suggest and HDU and A&E admissions change places in importance (Figure 18). The variation in LOS means that differences in case mix could explain the variations in length of stay between units.



Figure 17. Variation in ICU LOS and admission source (2004).



Table 10. Variation in ICU LOS and admission source (2004).(No LOS available for 1 admission from theatre)

| | Number of | | | ICU LO | S (days) | | |
|------------------|-----------|--------|-----------|------------------|----------|---------|---------|
| | cases | Median | Lower IQR | Upper IQR | Mean | Minimum | Maximum |
| Theatre | 3924 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 71.5 |
| Ward this hosp. | 2168 | 2.4 | 0.9 | 6.1 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 201.4 |
| A&E | 1703 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 76.0 |
| HDU this hosp. | 894 | 4.7 | 1.7 | 11.0 | 8.4 | 0.0 | 110.8 |
| Ward other hosp. | 422 | 2.6 | 1.1 | 6.5 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 42.7 |
| ICU other hosp. | 275 | 6.4 | 2.6 | 12.9 | 9.7 | 0.0 | 69.6 |
| HDU other hosp. | 77 | 3.9 | 2.0 | 9.4 | 9.2 | 0.1 | 112.0 |
| ICU this hosp. | 49 | 4.0 | 1.1 | 8.1 | 5.8 | 0.2 | 26.7 |
| Home | 6 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 20.8 | 11.9 | 0.1 | 59.1 |

Figure 18. Breakdown of proportions of admissions and bed days by admission source to Scottish ICUs (2004).





32. A similar pattern is observed with body system responsible for admission (derived form the APACHE II diagnosis), as demonstrated in Figures 19 and 20 and Table 11. These data illustrate especially that patients with respiratory diagnoses, because of their length of stay, use considerably more resources than would be suggested from their numbers. These results are derived from a subgroup of ICU admissions in 2003, the most recent admissions eligible for severity scoring by the APACHE II methodology.

Figure 19. Variation in ICU LOS and body system responsible for admission (APACHE II-scored patients in 2003).









Table 11. Proportion of patients and workload by system (APACHE II-scored patients in 2003).

| APACHE Diagnostic System Category | Patients (%) | Mean LOS (D) | Bed days (%) | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--|
| Gastrointestinal | 27.4 | 5.4 | 25.3 | |
| Respiratory | 22.8 | 8.1 | 31.6 | |
| Cardiovascular | 21.8 | 6.3 | 23.3 | |
| Neurological | 13.1 | 3.7 | 8.3 | |
| Trauma | 5.9 | 5.5 | 5.5 | |
| General | 4.5 | 3.0 | 2.3 | |
| Renal | 2.6 | 4.4 | 1.9 | |
| Metabolic/endocrine | 1.4 | 5.4 | 1.3 | |
| Haematological | 0.5 | 4.8 | 0.4 | |



33. There are also differences in length of stay between operative and non-operative patients within these diagnostic groups (Figure 21).

34. Admission age also appears to affect length of stay with a peak at 60 - 64 years (Figure 22 and Table 12).









Figure 22. Variation in ICU LOS and age (2004).

| Table 12. | Variation | in ICU | LOS and | age (2004). |
|-----------|-----------|--------|---------|-------------|
|-----------|-----------|--------|---------|-------------|

| | Number of | | | ICU LO | S (days) | | |
|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|-----------|----------|---------|---------|
| Age(1) | cases | Median | Lower IQR | Upper IQR | Mean | Minimum | Maximum |
| 0-15 | 114 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 59.1 |
| 16-19 | 182 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 69.6 |
| 20-24 | 273 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 54.9 |
| 25-29 | 281 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 76.8 |
| 30-34 | 340 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 201.4 |
| 35-39 | 452 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 4.8 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 66.9 |
| 40-44 | 471 | 1.8 | 0.8 | 5.7 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 71.8 |
| 45-49 | 517 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 81.0 |
| 50-54 | 621 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 5.6 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 112.0 |
| 55-59 | 859 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 6.1 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 79.0 |
| 60-64 | 984 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 6.6 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 92.5 |
| 65-69 | 1157 | 2.2 | 1.0 | 6.0 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 52.9 |
| 70-74 | 1225 | 2.2 | 1.0 | 6.0 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 110.8 |
| 75-79 | 1072 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 5.3 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 57.8 |
| 80-84 | 672 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 4.8 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 33.1 |
| 85+ | 299 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 27.3 |


F.4. Use of Augmented Care Period (ACP) data to determine levels of organ support and levels of care. ACP data were not available from Borders General Hospital for 2004.

35. The level of organ support is influenced by the patients admitted and to some extent it can be seen as an indicator of severity of illness. It is also affected by the approach of the clinical staff, which may vary over time as new evidence or techniques become available (e.g. changes in use of pulmonary artery catheters) and between units. Some caution is therefore required when using these data as an estimate of workload and staffing requirements. The intervention results described in this section are primarily from ACP data recorded daily between 2002 and 2004. The daily ACP dataset incorporates Yes or No responses to the following fields for every calendar day:

- Intubated
- Connected to a ventilator
- Face Mask CPAP
- Pulmonary artery flotation catheter
- Inotropes/vasopressors
- Filtration/dialysis

36. The first and last ACP days may be for only a few hours in the ICU during that day. The field is marked 'YES' if that intervention has been employed at any time in the 24 hours (midnight to midnight) irrespective of whether it is still occurring at the time data is entered. The intent is to capture the highest level of support during that period. It is important to note that in the combined ICU/HDUS, these figures for interventions are based on all admissions, not just those for level 3 care. SICSAG aims to refine this analysis in future years.



37. The Scottish ACP dataset was developed in 1998 and is similar to that used in England. The intention was primarily to characterise patients according to the interventions required. The Therapeutic Intervention Scoring System (TISS) [3] had been obligatory for the first 3-years of the audit (1995-1997) but it was complex, making it difficult to complete and almost impossible to validate. The Society does not wish to collect data which are not used and hence adopted the simpler ACP system whilst continuing to make TISS available for units to use internally if they wish.

38. With an increase in the number of combined HDU/ICUs and the audit now encompassing HDUs as well as ICUs, the ACP dataset has been modified in order to stratify patients by levels of care, this time based on Levels 1, 2 & 3 as identified in *Better Critical Care* [4]. Pilots of the modifications to the SICSAG dataset have been implemented in some sites, and some issues have been highlighted so that full role out will not now be until 2006.

39. An extensive database of the key ACP interventions has developed since 1999 and collection of these daily intervention data allows us to gain insights into variations in practice, both between units and with time. The following series of figures and tables attempts to convey the level of work conducted in each Scottish ICU during 2002, 2003 and 2004 as well as the 6-year trends of specific interventions. Units are encouraged to examine their practice, not only in relation to the national norm but also in relation to that of comparable units.



Ventilation.

40. Figure 23 demonstrates the proportion of patients ventilated on the first 'ACP day' of ICU care in each unit during 2004. The first ACP day is the time between ICU admission and midnight that day: this may be only a few hours during which some patients are assessed prior to instituting key interventions. There are marked variations and some interesting trends. Both patients and clinical practice may drive the variations. Some units may, for instance, admit patients for a few hours of ventilation, where others would arrange extubation in the recovery room. Traditionally the larger units, mainly in teaching hospitals, had higher ventilation rates. It is important to recognise that collection of data on all admissions to the combined ICU/HDU facilities (marked ^) underestimates the proportion of 'ICU' patients who are ventilated. Reductions in the rates of ventilation in specific ICU/HDUs, for example the Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh, have been described previously in the Annual Report 2004 [2].

41. A similar pattern is seen in Figure 24, which displays the rate of ventilation at any time in the ICU stay of admissions during 2004. The variation is wide, ranging from 22.9% in Wishaw (an ICU/HDU) to 94.3% in Glasgow Royal Infirmary. Almost 40% (10) of units ventilate at least 80% of admissions during their ICU stay.

42. Tables 13 and 14 demonstrate the annual variations in each unit from 1999 to 2004. It must be remembered that ^ identifies combined ICU/HDUs during 2004. The percentages themselves demonstrate changes as the status of a number of other units have altered with time. Primarily, these ICU/HDUs became ICUs, with the opening of separate HDUs. For example, Dumfries & Galloway Royal Infirmary, Inverclyde Royal Hospital, Queen Margaret Hospital and Royal Alexandra Hospital.

43. It is not certain that high ventilation rates are a good thing. Although this is the intervention that is most characteristic of ICU, high ventilation rates may suggest pressure on beds with difficulty in admitting patients who need ICU care but not ventilation or alternatively a pressure to discharge very quickly after extubation.





Figure 23. Proportion of patients ventilated on the first ACP day during 2004.

Figure 24. Proportion of patients ventilated at any time during 2004.





Table 13. Six-year trend in proportion (%) of patients ventilated at any time in Scottish ICUs (1999-2004). Note, ^ indicates combined ICU/HDU during 2004. The status of other units has changed in the

preceding years.

| Unit | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
|--------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| ARI^ | 87.9 | 85.3 | 85.3 | 79.4 | 79.8 | 82.4 |
| Ayr* | 65.2 | 77.2 | 77.4 | 79.8 | 76.9 | 75.1 |
| BGH* | 50.8 | 59.5 | 52.5 | 49.7 | 49.2 | N/A |
| CH* | 65.4 | 69.1 | 75.4 | 74.4 | 79.1 | 74.3 |
| DGRI* | 35.8 | 47.2 | 58.7 | 60.6 | 61.3 | 56.7 |
| FDRI*^ | N/A | N/A | 23.3 | 28.3 | 24.6 | 26.9 |
| GRI | 91.9 | 92.0 | 90.9 | 92.2 | 94.4 | 94.3 |
| HM*^ | 32.4 | 35.3 | 42.5 | 43.4 | 43.9 | 47.1 |
| IRH* | 52.1 | 77.9 | 85.1 | 89 | 91.8 | 89.7 |
| MK* | 83.1 | 81.1 | 82 | 83.5 | 85.3 | 80.4 |
| NW | N/A | N/A | 88.9 | 87.7 | 84.5 | 80.4 |
| PRI* | 49.5 | 40.3 | 50 | 53.5 | 50.3 | 55.3 |
| QMH* | 54.7 | 62.6 | 63 | 63 | 62.9 | 69.6 |
| RAH* | 57.5 | 71.9 | 80.6 | 82.4 | 82.1 | 85.9 |
| RIE^ | 89.4 | 86.0 | 83.3 | 82.3 | 60.4 | 53.8 |
| RM* | N/A | N/A | N/A | 72.9 | 73.5 | 65.3 |
| SGH | 79.5 | 79.6 | 87.7 | 84.2 | 86.8 | 85.3 |
| SH | 91.6 | 89.0 | 93.1 | 92.7 | 88.9 | 92.2 |
| SRI* | 66.7 | 67.3 | 67.2 | 74 | 71.7 | 75 |
| St. J* | 84.6 | 78.2 | 66.1 | 77.3 | 74.1 | 83 |
| VHK* | 53.5 | 73.5 | 65 | 66.3 | 68.5 | 63.7 |
| VIG | 83.3 | 77.3 | 85.5 | 86.8 | 82.2 | 83.9 |
| VOL*^ | 34.0 | 42.4 | 39.9 | 38.4 | 39.1 | 32.3 |
| WGH | 89.4 | 89.3 | 88.5 | 90.7 | 89.2 | 87.6 |
| WIG^ | 88.2 | 81.9 | 84.7 | 76.1 | 84.4 | 78.1 |
| Wish*^ | 69.5 | 78.2 | 44.1 | 30 | 26 | 22.9 |



Table 14. Six-year trend in proportion (%) of patients ventilated on 1st ACP day **in Scottish ICUs (1999-2004).** Note, ^ indicates combined ICU/HDU during 2004. The status of other units has changed in the preceding years.

| Unit | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
|--------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| ARI^ | 82.9 | 81.1 | 79.9 | 75.0 | 75.7 | 79.2 |
| Ayr* | 61.6 | 75.0 | 75.6 | 75.8 | 74.0 | 73.0 |
| BGH* | 47.1 | 53.6 | 47.2 | 43.1 | 43.0 | N/A |
| CH* | 62.5 | 65.3 | 71.1 | 68.8 | 78.1 | 69.7 |
| DGRI* | 31.9 | 40.6 | 55.7 | 54.6 | 57.8 | 54.2 |
| FDRI*^ | N/A | N/A | 21 | 24.4 | 21.0 | 23.6 |
| GRI | 90.1 | 88.6 | 87.8 | 88.9 | 89.6 | 91.9 |
| HM*^ | 29.0 | 28.2 | 35.4 | 34.3 | 37.8 | 38.4 |
| IRH* | 51.1 | 71.4 | 81.1 | 83.9 | 89.7 | 88.6 |
| MK* | 80.4 | 78.7 | 80.6 | 79.6 | 81.7 | 74.7 |
| NW | N/A | N/A | 84.9 | 84.1 | 82.4 | 77.7 |
| PRI* | 45.3 | 38.1 | 47.1 | 49.0 | 46.7 | 50.7 |
| QMH* | 50.7 | 59.5 | 59.6 | 59.8 | 59.0 | 66.6 |
| RAH* | 56.1 | 70.2 | 79.5 | 81.5 | 79.3 | 83.5 |
| RIE^ | 87.9 | 82.7 | 79.8 | 78.9 | 55.1 | 48.1 |
| RM* | N/A | N/A | N/A | 69.4 | 69.8 | 62.0 |
| SGH | 74.7 | 75.7 | 82.9 | 80.8 | 83.0 | 81.8 |
| SH | 87.8 | 83.9 | 89.6 | 87.4 | 84.7 | 87.6 |
| SRI* | 61.0 | 63.1 | 62.5 | 67.6 | 68.6 | 71.2 |
| St. J* | 78.1 | 74.8 | 62.5 | 73.7 | 70.7 | 78.0 |
| VHK* | 50.2 | 67.2 | 62.6 | 62.3 | 64.8 | 62.6 |
| VIG | 80.8 | 71.9 | 79.8 | 83.9 | 79.1 | 82.1 |
| VOL*^ | 30.8 | 36.0 | 34 | 33.0 | 33.8 | 28.3 |
| WGH | 84.7 | 87.5 | 84.6 | 88.4 | 86.0 | 84.3 |
| WIG^ | 85.7 | 80.5 | 82 | 73.3 | 82.8 | 76.4 |
| Wish*^ | 65.0 | 74.9 | 40.7 | 25.0 | 23.3 | 21.0 |



44. It is uncommon for patients who are not ventilated on day 1 to be ventilated later on their ICU stay (Figure 25). There is little variation between the units.



Figure 25. Proportion of ventilated patients in 2004 who are ventilated on day 1.

45. Overall, ventilation rates in Scotland have decreased over time (Table 15), with an increase in ICU/HDUs admitting a higher proportion of patients who are never ventilated. It does appear that the figure may now be stabilising.

| Year | Proportion of patients ventilated on 1st ACP day (%) | Proportion of patients ventilated at any time (%) |
|------|--|---|
| 2004 | 62.2 | 65.8 |
| 2003 | 62.4 | 66.2 |
| 2002 | 63.8 | 68.1 |
| 2001 | 66.6 | 70.4 |
| 2000 | 68.2 | 72.2 |
| 1999 | 67.2 | 70.6 |

 Table 15. Six-year trend in ventilation rates (1999-2004).



46. Figure 26 demonstrates the ventilation rates on every ACP day in ICU during 2004. There is a fall in the proportion of patients ventilated over the first couple of days, but the great majority of long-stay patients remain ventilated.

47. The decrease in the proportion of patients ventilated on day 2 may be a real decrease, with patients being prepared for discharge from intensive care (the median length of ICU stay being 2 days (Table 4)). It may reflect short-term ventilation, for example post-operatively. There is also a possibility that staff are recording the last ACP prior to discharge as *not* ventilated when the patient may well have been ventilated for part of that day.

Figure 26. Proportion of all patients treated in Scottish ICUs in 2004 who are ventilated, per ACP day. Includes the ACP days in 2004 of patients admitted in 2003 whose stay continued into 2004.





48. During 2002 and 2003, the proportions of the total number of ACP days on which ventilation was utilised in Scotland were similar at 66.6% and 66.2% [2]. In 2004, this percentage fell to 61.8%.

49. An increase in the number of units providing ventilation during at least 80% of the ACP days had risen from 5 units in 2002 to 11 units in 2003 [2]. In 2004, however, fewer than 5 units provided this intervention on at least 80% of the ACP days (Figure 27).

Figure 27. Proportion of ACP days in which there is ventilatory support: 2004. Mean = 61.8% of ACP days.





Renal replacement therapy.

50. As well as identifying the units in which renal replacement therapy (RRT) was provided in 2004, Figure 28 demonstrates the number of patients in these units who had RRT delivered and the proportion they represent of each unit's admissions. There are clear differences here, and this reflects case mix, with a tendency for patients with acute renal failure to be more likely to be seen in hospitals with a renal unit.





51. The proportion of patients receiving this intervention does not vary greatly within units from one year to another.

52. Figure 29 complements Figure 28 by demonstrating the proportion of total ACP days on which RRT was provided. Variation in the need for RRT amongst units with comparable case mix might arise from differences in the threshold for institution of RRT, the extent to which such support is instituted in patients with poor expectation of survival and the extent to which renal failure occurs during intensive care.



Figure 29. Provision of renal replacement therapy in 2004. Proportion of patients in Scottish ICUs receiving RRT = 9.9%, utilising 9.8% of ACP days.



53. Since 1999, there has been a 2.9% increase in the absolute proportion of patients given RRT in Scottish ICUs (Table 16) and this represents a relative increase of around a third.

| Table 16. | Six-vear | trend in | the rates | of delivering | RRT (| (1999-2004). |
|------------|----------|----------|-----------|---------------|-------|--------------|
| I HOIC IV. | SIA year | u chu hi | the races | or achiering | 11111 | |

| | Proportion of patients with RRT | Proportion of ACP days of RRT (%) |
|------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Year | (70) | |
| 2004 | 9.9 | 9.8 |
| 2003 | 9.4 | 10.3 |
| 2002 | 8.6 | 8.9 |
| 2001 | 8.1 | 8.5 |
| 2000 | 7.6 | 8.8 |
| 1999 | 7.0 | 9.1 |



Pulmonary artery flotation catheters.

54. The use of pulmonary artery flotation catheters (PAFCs) has been controversial. Results of the PACMAN trial, a randomised controlled clinical trial assessing pulmonary artery catheters, conducted by the Intensive Care National Audit and Research Centre in London (ICNARC), have been inconclusive [5]. Alternative tools for assessing cardiac indices are increasingly used and alterations to the minimum ACP dataset will attempt to address this issue.

55. It is probable that variation in the approach to patient management, both within and between units, is responsible for a great deal of the variation in use of PAFCs.

56. Over the years there has been striking variation in the utilisation of PAFCs between comparable units. Table 17 confirms the diminished use of this monitoring tool. In Scotland in 2001, there were still 8 units which monitored over 10% of their patients using PAFCs, 5 of which utilised it in over 20%. The use of PAFCs in 2002, 2003 and 2004 is demonstrated in Figure 30 to 32. In the most recent year, 2004, only 1 unit monitored between 10% and 20% of patients in this manner.

| Vear | Proportion of patients with PAFC on 1st ACP day (%) | Proportion of patients with PAFC at any time (%) |
|------|---|--|
| 2004 | 27 | <u> </u> |
| 2004 | 3.2 | 5.6 |
| 2003 | 4.8 | 83 |
| 2002 | 6.7 | 10.9 |
| 2000 | 9.0 | 14.5 |
| 1999 | 10.0 | 15.0 |

Table 17. Six-year trend in PAFC utilisation rates (1999-2004).



Figure 30. Proportion of patients with PAFC *in situ* on 1st day of ICU (mean = 4.8%) or at any time during ICU (mean = 8.3%): 2002.



Figure 31. Proportion of patients with PAFC *in situ* on 1st day of ICU (mean = 3.2%) or at any time during ICU (mean = 5.6%): 2003.





Figure 32. Proportion of patients with PAFC *in situ* on 1st day of ICU (mean = 2.7%) or at any time during ICU (mean = 4.7%): 2004.





Inotropes/vasopressors.

57. Presented in Figure 33 are data demonstrating the extent to which inotropes/vasopressors are utilised during the intensive care period. On average, approximately 37% of admissions to Scottish ICUs received this therapeutic intervention in 2004.

58. There is wide variation in the use of inotropes/vasopressors, from 12.5% in Falkirk Royal Infirmary to 60.4% at the Western General Hospital in 2004. The units with the least usage are, as expected, the combined ICUs/HDU. These variations reflect the different case mix of admissions and may also reflect differing approaches to management. In the combined units, these figures reflect the proportion of inotropes/vasopressors administered to all admissions, whether HDU or ICU patients.



Figure 33. Proportion of patients receiving inotropes/vasopressors in Scottish ICUs: 2004.



Summary ACP data.

59. Summary data of various key interventions and / or therapies that were utilised in 25 of the 26 adult, general ICUs in Scotland during 2004 are tabulated in Table <u>18</u>.

Table 18. Summary ACP data demonstrating the extent of organ support in eachICU during 2004. Includes patients admitted to ICU in 2003, still in ICU in 2004.

| | ACP Days, all admissions | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|--------------------------|-------|------------|--------|------------|--------|--------|------|------|-----|-----|-------|------------|------|------|
| | Total | Venti | ator | Intuba | ation | Trache | ostomy | Mask | CPAP | PA | FC | Inotr | ope | DDT | dave |
| Unit | Dove | day | / S | day | / S | da | ys | da | iys | daj | ys | day | / S | Μ | uays |
| | Days | Ν | % | Ν | % | Ν | % | Ν | % | Ν | % | Ν | % | Ν | % |
| ARI^ | 4739 | 3330 | 70.3 | 2207 | 46.6 | 1589 | 33.5 | 323 | 6.8 | 165 | 3.5 | 1121 | 23.7 | 514 | 10.8 |
| Ayr* | 1312 | 904 | 68.9 | 685 | 52.2 | 294 | 22.4 | 36 | 2.7 | 2 | 0.2 | 192 | 14.6 | 1 | 0.1 |
| CH* | 1737 | 1114 | 64.1 | 730 | 42.0 | 579 | 33.3 | 57 | 3.3 | 1 | 0.1 | 353 | 20.3 | 294 | 16.9 |
| DGRI* | 1691 | 769 | 45.5 | 589 | 34.8 | 297 | 17.6 | 125 | 7.4 | 20 | 1.2 | 399 | 23.6 | 137 | 8.1 |
| FDRI*^ | 3162 | 912 | 28.8 | 604 | 19.1 | 516 | 16.3 | 55 | 1.7 | 32 | 1.0 | 209 | 6.6 | 1 | 0.0 |
| GRI | 2528 | 2292 | 90.7 | 1912 | 75.6 | 419 | 16.6 | 32 | 1.3 | 38 | 1.5 | 586 | 23.2 | 433 | 17.1 |
| HM*^ | 2484 | 1339 | 53.9 | 1099 | 44.2 | 409 | 16.5 | 65 | 2.6 | 80 | 3.2 | 542 | 21.8 | 204 | 8.2 |
| IRH* | 653 | 525 | 80.4 | 417 | 63.9 | 100 | 15.3 | 49 | 7.5 | 3 | 0.5 | 150 | 23.0 | 19 | 2.9 |
| MK* | 1751 | 1313 | 75.0 | 1054 | 60.2 | 385 | 22.0 | 103 | 5.9 | 49 | 2.8 | 447 | 25.5 | 260 | 14.8 |
| NW | 2413 | 1545 | 64.0 | 1374 | 56.9 | 460 | 19.1 | 235 | 9.7 | 26 | 1.1 | 619 | 25.7 | 544 | 22.5 |
| PRI* | 965 | 641 | 66.4 | 348 | 36.1 | 317 | 32.8 | 48 | 5.0 | 7 | 0.7 | 168 | 17.4 | 7 | 0.7 |
| QMH* | 2179 | 1456 | 66.8 | 1146 | 52.6 | 407 | 18.7 | 50 | 2.3 | 5 | 0.2 | 622 | 28.5 | 217 | 10.0 |
| RAH* | 1913 | 1459 | 76.3 | 1263 | 66.0 | 298 | 15.6 | 31 | 1.6 | 26 | 1.4 | 543 | 28.4 | 239 | 12.5 |
| RIE^ | 6094 | 3120 | 51.2 | 2356 | 38.7 | 929 | 15.2 | 200 | 3.3 | 154 | 2.5 | 1016 | 16.7 | 862 | 14.1 |
| RM* | 2015 | 1254 | 62.2 | 958 | 47.5 | 391 | 19.4 | 90 | 4.5 | 12 | 0.6 | 563 | 27.9 | 181 | 9.0 |
| SGH | 1731 | 1233 | 71.2 | 1075 | 62.1 | 210 | 12.1 | 94 | 5.4 | 24 | 1.4 | 343 | 19.8 | 167 | 9.6 |
| SH | 1477 | 1210 | 81.9 | 998 | 67.6 | 239 | 16.2 | 47 | 3.2 | 3 | 0.2 | 251 | 17.0 | 232 | 15.7 |
| SRI* | 1386 | 1029 | 74.2 | 641 | 46.2 | 449 | 32.4 | 37 | 2.7 | 24 | 1.7 | 345 | 24.9 | 154 | 11.1 |
| St. J* | 1583 | 1201 | 75.9 | 682 | 43.1 | 657 | 41.5 | 44 | 2.8 | 38 | 2.4 | 435 | 27.5 | 112 | 7.1 |
| VHK* | 751 | 561 | 74.7 | 345 | 45.9 | 253 | 33.7 | 15 | 2.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 135 | 18.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| VIG | 1570 | 970 | 61.8 | 811 | 51.7 | 292 | 18.6 | 64 | 4.1 | 6 | 0.4 | 335 | 21.3 | 65 | 4.1 |
| VOL*^ | 633 | 292 | 46.1 | 171 | 27.0 | 144 | 22.7 | 23 | 3.6 | 7 | 1.1 | 89 | 14.1 | 1 | 0.2 |
| WGH | 3031 | 2414 | 79.6 | 1743 | 57.5 | 848 | 28.0 | 97 | 3.2 | 224 | 7.4 | 1136 | 37.5 | 141 | 4.7 |
| WIG^ | 2434 | 1566 | 64.3 | 1380 | 56.7 | 325 | 13.4 | 95 | 3.9 | 12 | 0.5 | 391 | 16.1 | 394 | 16.2 |
| Wish*^ | 4147 | 1166 | 28.1 | 883 | 21.3 | 483 | 11.6 | 37 | 0.9 | 24 | 0.6 | 490 | 11.8 | 169 | 4.1 |
| Scotland | 54379 | 33615 | 61.8 | 25471 | 46.8 | 11290 | 20.8 | 2052 | 3.8 | 982 | 1.8 | 11480 | 21.1 | 5348 | 9.8 |



Levels of care.

60. A more complete picture of the variation in dependency and organ support has been made in past reports, by aggregating the days on which each patient receives one or more key interventions, i.e., ventilation, renal replacement therapy or cardiovascular support. The audit group has received requests to demonstrate resource utilisation by the dependency levels: 3 (most dependent), 2 and 1 (least dependent). A modified ACP dataset has been developed over the last couple of years and has been installed in approximately 20 ICUs and HDUs at time of reporting. Key interventions in the new dataset are mapped to the levels of dependency, 1, 2 and 3. Thus, there is one dataset suitable for ICUs, HDUs and combined ICU/HDUs, which is demonstrated in Figures 34 & 35. It will be some time before every one of the 55 critical care units has the new software and therefore, reporting on the success of the mapping and the application of levels of care within the units will be, at the earliest, in the Annual Report 2006.

| 👻 WWatcher | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| File Edit Help | | | | | |
| ACP Details 🔅 | | | | | RECORD, Test |
| Respiratory Management | ETT | Trache | Mask/ Cannulae | Nil | Analgesia Epidural (Y/N) N |
| Connected to a ventilator OR, if never connected to a ve CPAP O2 50% or more O2 less than 50% Nil | entilator d C C C | C luring this (C C C | C calendar day C C | c | Other regional analgesic therapy (Y/N) N Other Monitoring General observation/monitoring (Y/N) (more than could be provided safely on a general ward) Y Potential for deterioration (Y/N) Y |
| Potential need for ventilation v Recently extubated/airway con CNS depression/seizures suf Invasive Monitoring Arterial line (Y/N) Central venous catheter (inclu Pulmonary artery flotation cath Other cardiac output monitorin Therapy Inotropes/vasopressors (NOT Intermittent or continuous hae Circulatory instability due to hy Invasive neuro monitoring (eg Nutrition Parenteral nutrition (Y/N) Enteral nutrition (Y/N) | ia ETT or itrol uncer ficient to p ding dial) ieter (Y/N) ig (Y/N) renal dop mofiltratio rpovolaen ICP, jugu | Trache (Y/ rtain (Y/N) prejudice a ysis cathetr) parmine) (Y pn/dialysis nia (Y/N) ular bulb) (1 | N) irway (Y/N) er) (Y/N) /N) (Y/N) //N) | Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z | Locally defined ACP (Y/N) No questions> Image: State of the stat |

Figure 34. Updated ACP dataset.



| WWatcher e Edit Report Help | | |
|--------------------------------|-------|--|
| ISS/ACP | | RECORD, Tes |
| TISS | | ACP |
| Highest TISS | 0 | Ventilation days 1 Days at Level 3 1 New Day |
| Total TISS | 0 | Renal support days 0 Days at Level 2 0 View highlighted |
| MeanTISS | 0 | PA catheter days 0 Days at Level 1 0 |
| EO | | Date Intubated Traché Ventilator CPAP PA cathet Vasoactive Renal 🔺 |
| Date Day (| Score | 12/01/2005 XX |
| | | |
| | | Drotrecogin Alfa Weight kg New assessment Height cm View highlighted |
| | | Date & time assessed SIRS Infection status Organs failing APACHE Administered |
| | | |
| | | |
| New Day | | |

| Figure 25 Undefed | ACD assess Diamle | of warmah on of down | at larvala 1 2 am 2 |
|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| FIGHTE 35. LINGSIEG | AUP Screen: Disniz | v of number of davs | al levels L. Z or S. |
| I Igui e coi o puuteu | rici sereeni Dispit | y of mumber of augo | at 10,015 1, a of 0. |

61. Once more, in preparation for displaying this type of data in the future, we have attempted to map the current ACP dataset to levels of care in this report, which is demonstrated in Figure 36. The daily ACP records for every admission were used to determine the proportions of each level of care for each unit, using the classifications:

| Level 3: | - Advanced respiratory support (ventilator plus intubation or |
|----------|---|
| | ventilator plus tracheostomy. |

- Any two other organs supported

- **Level 2:** One organ support (other than advanced respiratory support)
- Level 1: No organ support



62. In England, the ACP dataset allows a patient who has had no organ support (level 1) but who cannot be safely looked after in a general ward, or is at risk of deteriorating, to be categorised as level 2. The current Scottish ACP dataset does not account for this, therefore, the results will likely overestimate the proportion of level 1 days, particularly in the combined ICUs/HDU.

| 👻 WWatcher | | a com |
|--|---|--|
| <u>File E</u> dit <u>H</u> elp | | |
| ACP Details | | TEST, Record |
| ACP Details Endotracheal tube in situ (Y/N) [Tracheostorny (NOT Minitrach) in situ [Face mask CPAP (Y/N) [Connected to a ventilator (Y/N) [Highest non ventilated resp rate [Gases available on ventilator (Y/N) [Latest PaO2 Values in kPa Corresponding O2% [| Active control of ICP with monitoring (Y/N) Intermittent or cont. haemofiltration/dialysis Creatinine (µmol/L) Other system (please specify below) Pulmonary artery catheter (Y/N) Inotropes/vasopressors (NOT renal doparnine) Lowest systolic/paired diastolic BP / | TEST, Record Date 21/02/2005 Data collected by |
| | - | Next day Delete Cancel OK |

Figure 36. ACP dataset 1999 – 2005.



63. In Figure 37, the units are ordered from left to right by highest to lowest proportion of level 1 days. The combined ICU/HDUs generally have the lowest proportion of level 3 days. The extent of their level 2 days is likely to be underestimated. The consistently high proportion (~90%) of ACP days devoted to level 3 care at Glasgow Royal Infirmary is noteworthy.



Figure 37. Levels of care determined from the ACP dataset, 2004.





Figure 38. Levels of care determined from the ACP dataset, 2003.

Figure 39. Levels of care determined from the ACP dataset, 2002.





F.5. Outcomes monitoring: Case mix-adjusted outcome and Statistical Process Control.

64. We continue to use the APACHE II scoring system [1] to attempt to adjust mortality for variation in case mix. Each ICU enters raw data values into identical software, which then calculates scores and mortality probabilities. The APACHE II score is derived from 12 acute physiological variables, age points and chronic health points. The higher the score, the greater the severity of the acute illness. This score, combined with a weighting for diagnosis, enables the expected number of hospital deaths to be calculated for each unit. Dividing the observed mortality by the expected mortality generates a standardised mortality ratio (SMR), an attempt to enable comparison of different units with different case mix. The ultimate outcome from the acute hospital episode is used.

65. As mentioned in Paragraph 16, extensive collaboration with ISD enables the outcome recorded at the end of the continuous in-patient stay on the Scottish Morbidity Records to be used as the ultimate outcome for patients for whom no hospital outcome is available on a unit's database. This helps avoid apparent differences in performance between units due to patient transfer. This is a labour-intensive process requiring extensive data validation where each linkage has to be confirmed to ensure correct outcome status. This process undoubtedly improves the accuracy of the data but requires extensive work and a time delay in producing reports. The time taken to do this explains why outcome data are only available to 2003. This work is also providing ISD with a process of external validation of the morbidity records being returned and linked. If the linkage fails, the hospital outcome on the ICU record is used.

66. The uses and limitations of applying standardised mortality ratios in this way must be kept in mind:

- They were developed on what are, by present standards, relatively small data sets.
- They are most accurate in the system or population on which they were developed: international comparisons have been difficult to interpret.



- They do not fully adjust for case mix. As an example, we have previously demonstrated how the mortality of patients with a neurological diagnosis may be under-estimated [6] and the mortality of patients admitted from theatre appears to be over estimated compared to patients admitted from wards. This means that a unit with relatively more ward patients will appear to perform less well than a unit with mainly theatre admissions.
- They are more susceptible than is often appreciated to treatment effects. This includes, but is not limited to, 'lead time bias'- the effect of resuscitation prior to ICU admission [7]. Changes in ICU management strategies since the systems were developed may have increased this effect.

67. APACHE II is applicable to the majority of patients admitted to ICUs in Scotland however there are exclusions: age less than 16, length of stay less 8 hours and primary burn injury.

68. As in previous years, the outcome data for individual units are published on an anonymised basis. In future these data will not be anonymised. The same letter code identifies an individual unit throughout this section of the Report. The code will be given to the lead audit clinician in that unit and to relevant Trust staff on request. As a result of the time required for the data linkage mentioned above the most recent outcome data relates to 2003. Figures and tables of earlier data are included where necessary for comparison.

69. During 2003, 24 of the 26 ICUs provided severity data. Borders General Hospital, Falkirk Royal Infirmary, Queen Margaret Hospital and Raigmore Hospital were unable to participate in this aspect of the study for either all or part of the years 2002 and 2003.

70. Summary characteristics of those admissions in 2003 with APACHE mortality probabilities are given in Table 19 (2003). For comparison, the data for 2002 is also displayed (Table 20). As patients with a length of stay of less than 8 hours are



excluded, the median and mean lengths of stay are longer than those for all patients (Table 4).

Table 19. Summary demographic characteristics of admissions with APACHE probabilities in 2003.

| 24 SITES | Predicted Patients |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|
| Ν | 6144 |
| Operative (%) | 38.2 |
| Non-operative (%) | 61.8 |
| Length of ICU Stay (d) (Mean) | 5.9 |
| Length of ICU Stay (d) (Median) | 2.3 |
| Length of ICU Stay (d) (Range) | 0.33 to 119 |
| ICU Mortality (%) | 22.8 |
| Hospital Mortality (%) | 31.2 |
| Ultimate Hospital Mortality (%) | 33.9 |
| APACHE II Score (Mean) | 19.6 |
| APACHE II Probability (%) | 34.2 |
| SMR (95% lower & upper CIs) | 0.983 (0.953-1.012) |

Table 20. Summary demographic characteristics of admissions with APACHE probabilities in 2002.

| 23 SITES | Predicted Patients |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Ν | 5503 |
| Operative (%) | 36.7 |
| Non-operative (%) | 63.3 |
| Length of ICU Stay (d) (Mean) | 6.1 |
| Length of ICU Stay (d) (Median) | 2.4 |
| Length of ICU Stay (d) (Range) | 177.7 |
| ICU Mortality (%) | 24.8 |
| Hospital Mortality (%) | 33.1 |
| Ultimate Hospital Mortality (%) | 35.8 |
| APACHE II Score (Mean) | 19.7 |
| APACHE II Probability (%) | 34.0 |
| SMR (95% lower & upper CIs) | 1.052 (1.021-1.083) |



71. The mean and median scores in Scotland for 2003 are 19.6 & 19 respectively and are unchanged from 2002. The median APACHE II scores (plus inter-quartile ranges) are given for each ICU in Figure 40 and 41 for 2002 and 2003. Although these scores give some indication of severity of illness, the expected mortality is also influenced by diagnostic coefficients and is not directly proportional to the APACHE II score.

Figure 40. Illness severity: Median APACHE II scores in 2002. Scottish median: 19 (Inter-quartile range: 15 & 26).









72. Figure 42 shows the expected hospital mortalities for severity scored admissions to the 24 ICUs in 2003. The expected mortality varies from 21% in Unit I to 43% in Unit B.

73. Figure 43 demonstrates the unadjusted mortality, ranging from 19% in Unit A to 46% in Unit X. Overall 11% of severity scored patients discharged alive from ICU died without leaving hospital. There is a difference, however, in the post-ICU attrition rates between units, with more than half of the patients in units I and W dying after ICU. Note that these figures make no correction for the known difference in expected mortality between units so cannot be used to compare units' performances.





Figure 42. Median APACHE II probabilities in 24 units in 2003. Scottish median: 29 (Inter-quartile range: 12.2-52.5).

Figure 43. Mortality within subgroup of admissions in which APACHE II methodology applied: 2003.





74. The best comparator available is the case mix adjusted SMR. Figure 44 contains the SMRs and the associated lower and upper confidence intervals for the 24 units in 2003. These must be interpreted in light of the comments at the start of this section.

75. Comparison of Figures 43 and 44 demonstrates that the ultimate hospital mortality rate and SMR do not correlate. There is much less variation in SMR than there is in a raw mortality not corrected for case mix.

76. Figure 44 reveals that the upper 95% confidence intervals of units A and B fall below the lower 95% confidence interval of the Scottish SMR for 2003. The lower 95% confidence interval of unit X lies above the upper 95% confidence interval for the Scottish SMR. The units are ordered by the 2003 SMR in Figure 44 and in Table 21, which also shows individual unit's SMRs since 2000. It can be seen that there are changes in the ranking order of each unit year-on-year, as would be expected if the performance of units were similar.

Figure 44. Case mix adjusted SMRs (APACHE II model) in 24 ICUs in 2003. Mean: 0.983 (95% CIs 0.953-1.012).



| | | 2000 | | | 2001 | | 2002 | | | | 2003 | |
|----------|-------|---------|---------|-------|---------|---------|-------|---------|---------|-------|---------|---------|
| Unit | SMR | 95% LCI | 95% UCI |
| A* | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.665 | 0.490 | 0.839 |
| В | 0.914 | 0.820 | 1.01 | 1.09 | 0.970 | 1.21 | 1.071 | 0.932 | 1.210 | 0.821 | 0.699 | 0.944 |
| C* | 0.857 | 0.669 | 1.05 | 1.10 | 0.921 | 1.27 | - | - | - | 0.863 | 0.723 | 1.003 |
| D | 0.996 | 0.858 | 1.13 | 0.907 | 0.781 | 1.03 | 0.926 | 0.788 | 1.065 | 0.867 | 0.720 | 1.014 |
| E^ | 0.992 | 0.891 | 1.09 | 0.941 | 0.852 | 1.03 | 0.924 | 0.837 | 1.012 | 0.873 | 0.794 | 0.952 |
| F | 1.08 | 0.907 | 1.25 | 1.11 | 0.936 | 1.27 | 1.118 | 0.953 | 1.282 | 0.885 | 0.740 | 1.029 |
| G | 1.41 | 1.26 | 1.56 | 1.19 | 1.05 | 1.33 | 1.089 | 0.932 | 1.247 | 0.912 | 0.769 | 1.055 |
| H*^ | 0.895 | 0.609 | 1.18 | 1.08 | 0.809 | 1.35 | 1.202 | 0.909 | 1.494 | 0.921 | 0.667 | 1.176 |
| I* | 0.784 | 0.638 | 0.931 | 0.829 | 0.675 | 0.984 | 0.871 | 0.702 | 1.040 | 0.928 | 0.742 | 1.114 |
| J* | 0.746 | 0.573 | 0.919 | 1.13 | 0.931 | 1.33 | 0.786 | 0.575 | 0.998 | 0.965 | 0.750 | 1.179 |
| K* | 1.00 | 0.855 | 1.15 | 0.986 | 0.812 | 1.16 | 0.998 | 0.809 | 1.187 | 0.967 | 0.791 | 1.143 |
| L | 1.16 | 0.998 | 1.31 | 1.18 | 1.05 | 1.30 | 1.204 | 1.066 | 1.341 | 0.991 | 0.876 | 1.105 |
| M* | 1.08 | 0.898 | 1.26 | 0.860 | 0.683 | 1.04 | 0.729 | 0.496 | 0.962 | 0.993 | 0.805 | 1.180 |
| N* | 0.888 | 0.739 | 1.04 | 1.01 | 0.869 | 1.15 | 0.734 | 0.583 | 0.884 | 1.000 | 0.852 | 1.147 |
| 0^ | 1.06 | 0.937 | 1.19 | 1.13 | 1.013 | 1.25 | 1.061 | 0.940 | 1.182 | 1.050 | 0.923 | 1.176 |
| P* | 0.847 | 0.627 | 1.07 | 0.892 | 0.712 | 1.07 | 1.133 | 0.959 | 1.306 | 1.065 | 0.906 | 1.223 |
| Q* | 0.920 | 0.736 | 1.10 | 0.760 | 0.576 | 0.943 | 0.922 | 0.732 | 1.112 | 1.065 | 0.872 | 1.257 |
| R* | 0.959 | 0.817 | 1.10 | 1.01 | 0.853 | 1.17 | 1.077 | 0.929 | 1.224 | 1.074 | 0.928 | 1.220 |
| S* | 1.08 | 0.926 | 1.22 | 1.06 | 0.922 | 1.21 | 1.100 | 0.956 | 1.245 | 1.081 | 0.931 | 1.231 |
| Τ^ | 1.10 | 1.00 | 1.19 | 1.17 | 1.08 | 1.27 | 1.222 | 1.125 | 1.319 | 1.100 | 1.010 | 1.190 |
| U*^ | 0.911 | 0.759 | 1.06 | 0.879 | 0.757 | 1.00 | 1.104 | 0.942 | 1.267 | 1.103 | 0.957 | 1.250 |
| V | 1.08 | 0.946 | 1.21 | 0.922 | 0.792 | 1.05 | 1.062 | 0.918 | 1.206 | 1.126 | 0.971 | 1.281 |
| W* | 1.14 | 0.916 | 1.36 | 1.01 | 0.785 | 1.24 | 1.622 | 1.389 | 1.855 | 1.187 | 0.938 | 1.437 |
| X*^ | 1.14 | 0.936 | 1.34 | 1.16 | 0.979 | 1.34 | 1.224 | 1.052 | 1.395 | 1.421 | 1.232 | 1.611 |
| Y*^ | - | - | - | 0.985 | 0.780 | 1.19 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Z* | 0.829 | 0.681 | 0.977 | 0.895 | 0.718 | 1.07 | 1.124 | 0.952 | 1.297 | - | - | - |
| Scotland | 1.00 | 0.971 | 1.03 | 1.02 | 0.995 | 1.05 | 1.052 | 1.021 | 1.083 | 0.983 | 0.953 | 1.012 |

Table 21. Annual variation in APACHE II SMRs.



77. The annual Scottish SMRs for the 9-year period, 1995 to 2003, are displayed in Figure 45. The variation is from 0.944 in 1998 to 1.052 in 2002. The confidence intervals for each year overlap at least one other year's. The SMR for 2003 fell below 1.00 and the upper confidence level did not overlap the lower confidence interval of the 2002 SMR.



Figure 45. Scottish SMRs (APACHE II) 1995 – 2003.

78. Figures 46 and 47 present case mix adjusted outcomes for patients admitted directly to ICU from any area other than theatre and those admitted directly to ICU post-operatively. Only units in which there were 70 or more cases within either subgroup were included in these analyses. In Scotland, 62% of scored patients are non-operative admissions (Table 19). The data continue to demonstrate a higher SMR for non-operative admissions than post-operative admissions.







Figure 47. Post-operative SMRs (APACHE II model) in 17 units in 2003. Mean: 0.840 (95% CIs 0.782-0.897).





79. Using the APACHE diagnostic classification, patients can be grouped according to the primary organ system failure leading to ICU admission. Tables 22 and 23 illustrate the consistent variation in the proportions of patients within these nine categories during 2002 and 2003. The majority of patients (70%) fall into only three categories, gastrointestinal, respiratory and cardiovascular and the SMRs within each are similar year-on-year (Table 24 and Figure 48). Neither mortality probability nor SMR are given for Haematological classifications of which there were few cases. Two categories, however, are worthy of note: neurological and trauma. In both 2002 and 2003, the proportions of patients, the severity scores and the mortality probabilities for each category are similar. The ultimate hospital mortalities are less, however, resulting in SMRs very close to 1. Neurological SMRs have been consistently high throughout the audit, a result attributed to the failure of APACHE II to adequately adjust for neurological admissions in whom sedation prevents adequate GCS assessment. The SMR for 2003 is, however, the lowest observed in the neurological system's category (Table 24).

| APACHE Diagnostic System Category | Proportion of | APAC | СНЕ П | Illtimate Hospital | 2002 | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|-------|--------------------|--------------------|-------|------------|------------|--|
| | patients (%) | Score | Probability (%) | y Mortality (%) | SMR | 95% LCI | 95% UCI | |
| Gastrointestinal | 24.7 | 18.7 | 39.3 | 35.1 | 0.892 | 0.833 | 0.952 | |
| Respiratory | 24.3 | 20.3 | 33.5 | 37.8 | 1.128 | 1.061 | 1.194 | |
| Cardiovascular | 21.1 | 23.9 | 46.8 | 50.2 | 1.071 | 1.020 | 1.123 | |
| Neurological | 13.9 | 18.0 | 22.6 | 29.3 | 1.293 | 1.188 | 1.398 | |
| Trauma | 6.8 | 13.8 | 11.9 | 18.1 | 1.516 | 1.260 | 1.771 | |
| General | 4.5 | 14.7 | 19.9 | 13.2 | 0.663 | 0.439 | 0.886 | |
| Renal | 2.7 | 20.7 | 28.4 | 27.7 | 0.974 | 0.749 | 1.198 | |
| Metabolic/endocrine | 1.7 | 23.0 | 29.0 | 32.6 | 1.124 | 0.853 | 1.396 | |
| Haematological | 0.2 | 23.8 | - | 50.0 | - | - | - | |

| Table 22. V | ^v ariation in | illness se | everity by | v system in | all scored | patients: | 2002. |
|-------------|--------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|-----------|-------|
|-------------|--------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|-----------|-------|



| Table 23. | Variation | in illness | severity by | system in | all scored | natients: 2003. |
|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-----------|------------|-----------------|
| 1 abic 25 | variation | i in miness | severity by | system m | an scorcu | patients. 2005. |

| APACHE Diagnostic | Proportion of | APAC | CHE II | Ultimate Hospital | 2003 | | | |
|---------------------|---------------|-------|--------------------|-------------------|-------|------------|------------|--|
| System Category | patients (%) | Score | Probability (%) | Mortality (%) | SMR | 95% LCI | 95% UCI | |
| Gastrointestinal | 27.4 | 18.7 | 39.1 | 33.0 | 0.845 | 0.792 | 0.897 | |
| Respiratory | 22.8 | 20.4 | 33.7 | 37.6 | 1.115 | 1.051 | 1.180 | |
| Cardiovascular | 21.8 | 23.2 | 45.1 | 47.7 | 1.056 | 1.007 | 1.106 | |
| Neurological | 13.1 | 18.0 | 22.0 | 22.4 | 1.017 | 0.914 | 1.120 | |
| Trauma | 5.9 | 14.0 | 12.5 | 12.3 | 0.990 | 0.740 | 1.240 | |
| General | 4.5 | 14.9 | 21.0 | 13.9 | 0.659 | 0.455 | 0.864 | |
| Renal | 2.6 | 21.0 | 28.7 | 29.1 | 1.014 | 0.798 | 1.231 | |
| Metabolic/endocrine | 1.4 | 21.0 | 26.7 | 20.5 | 0.767 | 0.482 | 1.053 | |
| Haematological | 0.5 | 24.1 | - | 53.1 | - | - | - | |

| APACHE Diagnostic | | 2001 | | | 2002 | | | 2003 | |
|---------------------|-------|---------|---------|-------|---------|---------|-------|---------|---------|
| System Category | SMR | 95% LCI | 95% UCI | SMR | 95% LCI | 95% UCI | SMR | 95% LCI | 95% UCI |
| Gastrointestinal | 0.852 | 0.799 | 0.905 | 0.892 | 0.833 | 0.952 | 0.845 | 0.792 | 0.897 |
| Respiratory | 1.151 | 1.087 | 1.216 | 1.128 | 1.061 | 1.194 | 1.115 | 1.051 | 1.180 |
| Cardiovascular | 1.052 | 1.004 | 1.1 | 1.071 | 1.02 | 1.123 | 1.056 | 1.007 | 1.106 |
| Neurological | 1.351 | 1.257 | 1.445 | 1.293 | 1.188 | 1.398 | 1.017 | 0.914 | 1.120 |
| Trauma | 1.248 | 1.037 | 1.458 | 1.516 | 1.26 | 1.771 | 0.990 | 0.740 | 1.240 |
| General | 0.503 | 0.311 | 0.695 | 0.663 | 0.439 | 0.886 | 0.659 | 0.455 | 0.864 |
| Renal | 0.976 | 0.759 | 1.194 | 0.974 | 0.749 | 1.198 | 1.014 | 0.798 | 1.231 |
| Metabolic/endocrine | 0.794 | 0.468 | 1.12 | 1.124 | 0.853 | 1.396 | 0.767 | 0.482 | 1.053 |
| Haematological | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |





Figure 48. Scottish SMRs by system (2003).

80. To help assess the case mix of the post-operative and non-operative subgroups, the diagnostic classifications of each are presented in Tables 25 to 28 for both 2002 and 2003. Where there were less than 70 cases within a subgroup, no probability or SMR data have been presented.

81. In 2003, gastrointestinal diagnoses accounted for over half of the post-operative admissions (N=1233) but only 12% of non-operative admissions (N = 452) (Tables 25 and 27). Over a third of non-operative admissions were admitted with respiratory diagnoses and remained in ICU, on average, for almost 9 days. These data are similar to those for 2002 (Table 28).

82. The SMR in 2003 for post-operative Gastrointestinal diagnoses was 0.77 (Table 25) compared to 1.15 for non-operative Respiratory diagnoses, with no overlap of confidence intervals (Table 27).



83. Although the neurological SMR in post-operative admissions remains high (Tables 25 and 26), there has been a significant reduction in the non-operative subgroup (Tables 27 and 28), from 1.251 (CI 1.142-1.361) in 2002 to 0.944 (CI 0.839-1.050) in 2003. The proportion of patients, the APACHE scores and probabilities for the non-operative neurological subgroup were almost identical in both years. There was an associated reduction in mean LOS of 0.9 d in the 2 years.

84. The results demonstrated in this section illustrate the limitations of the APACHE system in adjusting for case mix. Apparent differences in performance between units may, in fact, be partly due to differing proportions of patients from groups with different SMRs.

| Table 25. | Post-operative | admissions: | variation | in | illness | severity | and | length | of |
|-----------|-----------------------|----------------|------------|----|---------|----------|-----|--------|----|
| ICU stay | within each diag | gnostic catego | ory, 2003. | | | | | | |

| APACHE Diagnostic System Category | Proportion of patients (%) | Mean LOS (d) | APA | ACHE II | Ultimate Hospital Mortality (%) | SMR | | 95% UCI |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|-------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|-------|------------|------------|
| | | | Score | Probability (%) | | | 95% LCI | |
| Gastrointestinal | 52.5 | 4.8 | 17.7 | 34.8 | 26.8 | 0.771 | 0.702 | 0.839 |
| Cardiovascular | 19.0 | 5.5 | 19.0 | 25.4 | 27.6 | 1.088 | 0.949 | 1.226 |
| General | 8.2 | 2.8 | 14.2 | 14.6 | 11.9 | 0.818 | 0.499 | 1.137 |
| Trauma | 6.7 | 5.3 | 13.2 | 9.9 | 11.4 | 1.149 | 0.712 | 1.586 |
| Respiratory | 6.0 | 2.5 | 15.0 | 16.8 | 7.1 | 0.425 | 0.078 | 0.772 |
| Neurological | 3.6 | 5.8 | 14.7 | 17.8 | 32.1 | 1.803 | 1.381 | 2.225 |
| Renal | 3.0 | 2.5 | 17.2 | 23.6 | 12.7 | 0.537 | 0.165 | 0.909 |
| Metabolic/endocrine | 0.8 | 1.2 | 10.4 | - | 0.0 | - | - | - |
| Haematological | 0.2 | 2.5 | 19.2 | - | 20.0 | - | - | - |

Table 26. Post-operative admissions: variation in illness severity and length of ICU stay within each diagnostic category, 2002.

| ADACHE Diagnostia | Proportion of | Moon LOS | APACHE II | | Ultimate | | 059/ | 059/ |
|---------------------|---------------|----------|-----------|--------------------|---------------------------|-------|------------|------------|
| System Category | patients (%) | (d) | Score | Probability (%) | Hospital Mortality (%) | SMR | 95% LCI | 95% UCI |
| Gastrointestinal | 49.3 | 4.7 | 17.7 | 35.1 | 30.2 | 0.860 | 0.783 | 0.937 |
| Cardiovascular | 19.1 | 5.6 | 19.7 | 27.4 | 29.4 | 1.072 | 0.931 | 1.214 |
| General | 8.7 | 2.7 | 14.2 | 13.9 | 10.8 | 0.776 | 0.431 | 1.121 |
| Trauma | 7.9 | 5.1 | 13.5 | 10.9 | 12.0 | 1.101 | 0.691 | 1.512 |
| Respiratory | 6.9 | 2.5 | 15.0 | 17.5 | 13.7 | 0.782 | 0.454 | 1.109 |
| Neurological | 4.0 | 5.8 | 16.3 | 22.6 | 37.0 | 1.639 | 1.280 | 1.998 |
| Renal | 3.5 | 3.0 | 17.0 | 23.2 | 12.7 | 0.546 | 0.167 | 0.925 |
| Metabolic/endocrine | 0.5 | 3.7 | 14.5 | - | 0.0 | - | - | - |
| Haematological | 0.1 | 10.5 | 27.5 | - | 50.0 | - | - | - |



Table 27. Non-operative admissions: variation in illness severity and length of ICU stay within each diagnostic category, 2003.

| APACHE Diagnostic System Category | Proportion of patients (%) | Mean LOS (d) | APACHE II | | Ultimate | | 0.50/ | 050/ |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|-----------|--------------------|---------------------------|-------|------------|------------|
| | | | Score | Probability (%) | Hospital Mortality (%) | SMR | 95% LCI | 95% UCI |
| Respiratory | 33.2 | 8.8 | 21.0 | 35.6 | 41.0 | 1.151 | 1.086 | 1.217 |
| Cardiovascular | 23.5 | 6.7 | 25.3 | 55.0 | 57.7 | 1.049 | 0.998 | 1.101 |
| Neurological | 19.0 | 3.5 | 18.3 | 22.5 | 21.2 | 0.944 | 0.839 | 1.050 |
| Gastrointestinal | 11.9 | 7.1 | 21.4 | 50.6 | 49.8 | 0.984 | 0.904 | 1.063 |
| Trauma | 5.5 | 5.6 | 14.7 | 14.4 | 13.0 | 0.907 | 0.602 | 1.211 |
| Renal | 2.3 | 5.9 | 24.2 | 32.9 | 42.5 | 1.294 | 1.028 | 1.559 |
| General | 2.1 | 3.6 | 16.6 | 36.4 | 18.5 | 0.508 | 0.248 | 0.768 |
| Metabolic/endocrine | 1.8 | 6.6 | 23.9 | - | 26.1 | - | - | - |
| Haematological | 0.7 | 5.3 | 25.0 | - | 59.3 | - | - | - |

| Table 28. | Non-operative | admissions: | variation | in | illness | severity | and | length | of |
|-----------|------------------|----------------|------------|----|---------|----------|-----|--------|----|
| ICU stay | within each diag | gnostic catego | ory, 2002. | | | - | | _ | |

| APACHE Diagnostic System Category | Proportion of patients (%) | Mean LOS (d) | APACHE II | | Ultimate | | 050/ | 050/ |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|--------------------|---------------------------|-------|------------|------------|
| | | | Score | Probability (%) | Hospital Mortality (%) | SMR | JS% LCI | 95% UCI |
| Respiratory | 34.6 | 9.0 | 21.0 | 35.4 | 40.6 | 1.148 | 1.080 | 1.216 |
| Cardiovascular | 22.3 | 5.7 | 26.0 | 56.6 | 60.7 | 1.071 | 1.017 | 1.125 |
| Neurological | 19.7 | 4.4 | 18.2 | 22.6 | 28.3 | 1.251 | 1.142 | 1.361 |
| Gastrointestinal | 10.2 | 8.7 | 21.7 | 51.3 | 49.0 | 0.956 | 0.865 | 1.046 |
| Trauma | 6.2 | 6.7 | 13.9 | 12.7 | 22.5 | 1.781 | 1.455 | 2.107 |
| Metabolic/endocrine | 2.4 | 5.4 | 24.1 | 30.0 | 36.6 | 1.219 | 0.941 | 1.497 |
| Renal | 2.3 | 6.3 | 24.2 | 33.3 | 41.6 | 1.249 | 0.973 | 1.526 |
| General | 2.0 | 2.1 | 16.1 | - | 19.4 | - | - | - |
| Haematological | 0.3 | 4.7 | 23.1 | - | 50.0 | - | - | - |


Statistical Process Control.

85. In the last 2 Annual Reports [2,8] it was proposed to assess the feasibility of applying Statistical Process Control (SPC) techniques to assess quality of intensive care provision. This is a system introduced by Walter Shewhart as a quality improvement tool within the manufacturing industry many years ago; a technique that has been recently applied to healthcare processes. Indeed, it is a technique now advocated for quality improvement in the NHS [9,10]. Shewhart recognised that there are two types of process variation: random (common) causes and assignable (special) causes. Common cause variation is ever present and does not indicate differences in the quality of a process. If there is only common cause variation present in a process, the process is "in control". If special cause variation is present, the process is "out of control", unstable and unpredictable.

86. The Clinical Indicators Support Team at NHS Quality Improvement Scotland use SPC in outcomes monitoring within the NHS in Scotland. Further information on the use and construction of control charts, produced by the team, can be found online [11,12]. Sytsma and Manley, Ferris State University, have produced a reasonably straightforward explanation of control charts, which can also be found online [13].

87. This year, individual control charts will be distributed to each unit. One unit's control chart is given in as an example in Figure 49. This type of chart displays the observed mortality rate over time plotted against the mortality rate as predicted by APACHE II for that population. Upper and lower limits of the expected mortality are plotted too. This type of chart differs from classical control charts by using the APACHE II predicted mortality rather than a unit's historical data as the baseline. The Audit Group will continue to explore the use of process control with the Clinical Indicators Support Team as a tool for monitoring outcomes.



88. When reviewing charts, out of control periods can be indicated by, amongst others,

- the observed mortality rate lying outside the upper or lower limits

In Figure 49, Nov-88, the observed mortality of 0.531 is above the upper limit of the expected mortality.

- 8 consecutive data points (observed mortality) lying above (bad) or below (good) the centre line (predicated rate)

There are 7 points lying above the predicted rate between Oct-00 and Apr-01 in Figure 49, hence, not quite out of control.

- 5 data points steadily rising

Between Nov-00 and Apr-01, 4 points steadily rise, again, not quite out of control.



Figure 49. Run Chart from one Scottish ICU, 1998 – 2003.



89. The major benefit of these charts is that they allow units to monitor their own progress without comparison to others. Units themselves can produce them once hospital outcome is known, rather than waiting for the annual report. This should enable problems to be identified earlier or the benefits of changes of management assessed. A database, which can produce charts from Ward Watcher data, can be obtained from SICSAG.



F.6. Progress of surveillance of healthcare associated infections, antimicotic prescribing and resistance in ICUs in Scotland.

90. There has been significant progress made with the surveillance of intensive care-associated infections.

91. In June 2002 a subgroup of the Advisory Group on Infection, set up by the Scottish Executive Health Department to advise it on surveillance of healthcare associated infection (HAI) and antibiotic resistance, recommended that surveillance of healthcare associated infection, antibiotic resistance and prescribing be piloted in ICUs in Scotland. Since then, there has been extensive collaboration between SICSAG, the Health Protection Scotland [HPS, previously known as the Scottish Centre for Infection and Environmental Health (SCIEH)], microbiologists, infection control nurses and clinicians in Scottish hospitals to work towards the development of an electronic surveillance system, maximising the data already collected routinely on the national ICU audit software.

92. Following discussions involving HPS, SICSAG and the Hospitals in Europe Link for Infection Control through Surveillance (HELICS) it was noted that there was considerable overlap between the ICU audit data that are already collected routinely and the HELICS dataset. Currently, several European countries contribute data on HAI in ICUs.

93. It was proposed that the pilot surveillance of hospital-acquired infection in Scottish ICUs, recommended by the Advisory Group on Infection, be conducted utilising the HELICS model rather than the American one, that it be facilitated by SICSAG and that the dataset be incorporated into the audit software.

94. A provisional dataset was presented to all interested parties at a meeting in the Victoria Infirmary on 28th April 2004, following which several changes were suggested. This dataset has been incorporated into the upgrade of Ward Watcher, as demonstrated in Figures 50 to 52.



Figure 50. Record of infections identified following HELICS/SICSAG protocol.

| | | | | • | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------|-------------------|---|--|---|-----|
| Acute coronary | admission | (Y/N) | | N Antimicrobials around | Critical Care Admission | 41-11 | |
| Surnerv in 30 c | tays prior to t | this Critical Care ar | mission (Y/N) | (Exclude prophylactic a therapy: SDD: local trea | ntimicrobials; antifungal/an atment) | itiviral | |
| | aya prior to i | | | The inerapy, SDD, local lies | · · · · · · · · | | |
| Surgical site 1 | | I | | Antimicrobials in 48 hrs | s prior to admission to Unitio | cal Care (Y/N) | N |
| Surgical site 2 | | | | Antimicrobials during D | ay 1 or 2 in Critical Care | | Y |
| | | | | | | | |
| AI Daily | Details | 🕫 Daily view | C Infection v | liew | View highlighted | New Day | |
| Date | Day | Infection typ | oe (* = multiple) | Organism | Antimicrobials | Data compl | ete |
| | | | | | | | |
| 20/04/2005 | 1 | | | | Y | Y | |
| 20/04/2005 21/04/2005 | 1 2 | | | | Y Y | Y Y | - |
| 20/04/2005 21/04/2005 22/04/2005 | 1 2 3 | | | | Y Y Y | Y Y Y | _ |
| 20/04/2005 21/04/2005 22/04/2005 23/04/2005 | 1 2 3 4 | | | | Y Y Y Y | Y Y Y Y | _ |
| 20/04/2005 21/04/2005 22/04/2005 23/04/2005 24/04/2005 | 1 2 3 4 5 | | | | Y Y Y Y Y | Y Y Y Y Y | _ |
| 20/04/2005 21/04/2005 22/04/2005 23/04/2005 24/04/2005 25/04/2005 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 | | | | Y Y Y Y Y | Y Y Y Y Y Y | _ |
| 20/04/2005 21/04/2005 22/04/2005 23/04/2005 24/04/2005 25/04/2005 26/04/2005 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | | | | Y Y Y Y Y N | Y Y Y Y Y Y | _ |
| 20/04/2005 21/04/2005 22/04/2005 23/04/2005 24/04/2005 25/04/2005 26/04/2005 27/04/2005 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | | | | Y Y Y Y N N | Y Y Y Y Y Y Y | _ |
| 20/04/2005 21/04/2005 22/04/2005 23/04/2005 25/04/2005 26/04/2005 27/04/2005 28/04/2005 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | | | | Y Y Y Y Y N N N | Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y | _ |
| 20/04/2005 21/04/2005 22/04/2005 23/04/2005 25/04/2005 26/04/2005 27/04/2005 28/04/2005 28/04/2005 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | | | | Y Y Y Y N N N N N | Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y | _ |
| 20/04/2005 21/04/2005 22/04/2005 23/04/2005 24/04/2005 25/04/2005 26/04/2005 28/04/2005 28/04/2005 29/04/2005 30/04/2005 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | | | | Y Y Y Y N N N N N | Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y | _ |
| 20/04/2005 21/04/2005 22/04/2005 23/04/2005 24/04/2005 25/04/2005 26/04/2005 28/04/2005 28/04/2005 29/04/2005 30/04/2005 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 | | | | Y Y Y Y Y N N N N N N N | Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y | _ |
| 20/04/2005 21/04/2005 22/04/2005 22/04/2005 24/04/2005 25/04/2005 26/04/2005 26/04/2005 28/04/2005 28/04/2005 30/04/2005 30/04/2005 01/05/2005 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | | | | Y Y Y Y Y N N N N N N N N N | Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y | _ |
| 20/04/2005 21/04/2005 22/04/2005 23/04/2005 24/04/2005 25/04/2005 25/04/2005 27/04/2005 28/04/2005 29/04/2005 30/04/2005 01/06/2005 02/05/2005 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13 14 | BSI-A | | Staphylococcus aureus | Y Y Y Y Y N N N N N N N N N | Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y | _ |

Figure 51. Daily dataset for pilot study.

| 🕸 WWatcher | | | | | |
|--|---|------------------|------------------|------------|---------|
| <u> E</u> ile <u>E</u> dit <u>H</u> elp | | | | | |
| HAI Daily Details | | | | RECORE |), Test |
| Reintubated on this day (Y/N) | N | | Date | 03/ | 05/2005 |
| Naso- or oro-gastric GI tube in situ (Y/N) | Y | | Data collected I | by MG | В |
| Urinary catheter in situ (Y/N) | Y | | Day | | 14 |
| Anitbiotic/antifungal/antiviral administered (NOT SDD) (Y/N) | Y | | | | |
| New infection diagnosed today (Y/N) | Y | | | | |
| | | _ | View highlighted | New Infect | tion |
| Infection type | | Microorganism | | | |
| Blood stream intection (Type A) | | Staphylococcus a | aureus (unk) | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | 1 |
| | | 1 | | | |
| | | | Cancel | ОК | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |



Figure 52. Blood stream infection dataset.

| 1. Identify suspected infection 🕝 Blood stream infection 🔿 CV/C related infection 🔿 Pneumonia | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Y Positive blood culture for a recognised pathogen (Y/N) | | | | | |
| Temperature more than 38 C (Y/N) | | | | | |
| N Rigors (Y/N) | | | | | |
| N Hypotension (Y/N) | | | | | |
| 2 positive blood cultures for a common skin contaminant* (from 2 separate blood samples drawn within 48 hours) and clinical symptoms (Y/N) | | | | | |
| Y 1 positive blood culture with a skin contaminant* with clinical symptoms, an intravascular line in place, and in whom the team has instituted appropriate antimicrobial therapy (V/N) | | | | | |
| N Positive Ag test blood (eg H.influenzae, S.pneumoniae, N. meningitidis, or Group B Streptococcus (Y/N) | | | | | |
| r skir contaminants = coagulase-regative staphylococoi, Micrococcus sp., Propionibacterium acres, Bacillus sp., Conynebacterium sp. | | | | | |
| 2. Check Confirmed infection: BSI-A Blood stream infection (Type A) | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 3. Select the causative organism (with resistance, if appropriate) | | | | | |
| Select the causative organism (with resistance, if appropriate) Organism not found/identified C Examination not done C Sterile examination F Identified below | | | | | |
| 3. Select the causative organism (with resistance, if appropriate) C Organism not found/identified C Examination not done C Sterile examination Group Organism Resistance | | | | | |
| 3. Select the causative organism (with resistance, if appropriate) C Organism not found/identified C Examination not done C Sterile examination Group Organism Resistance Anaerobic bacilli Enterococcus faecalis Oxacillin sensitive | | | | | |
| 3. Select the causative organism (with resistance, if appropriate) C Organism not found/identified C Examination not done C Sterile examination Group Organism Resistance Anaerobic bacilli Enterococcus faecalis Oxacillin resistant Oxacillin resistant Oxacillin resistant Oxacillin resistant | | | | | |
| 3. Select the causative organism (with resistance, if appropriate) C Organism not found/identified C Examination not done Group Organism Group Organism Anaerobic bacilli Enterococcus faecalis C Anaerobic bacillin elemetrococcus faecalis Enterococcus faecium Coxacillin resistant Enterococcus faecium Enterococcus faecium Coxacillin resistant Enterococcus Enteroccus Enterococcus Enterococcus Enterococcus | | | | | |
| 3. Select the causative organism (with resistance, if appropriate) C organism not found/identified C Examination not done C Sterile examination C Sterile examination C Identified below C organism C Organism C Sterile examination C Identified below C Identified below C organism C Organism C Sterile examination C Identified below C Identified below C organism C Organism C Sterile examination C Identified below C Identified below C organism C Organism C Sterile examination C Identified below C Identified below C Organism C Organism C Sterile examination C Identified below C Identified below C Organism C Organism C Sterile examination C Identified below C Ide | | | | | |
| 3. Select the causative organism (with resistance, if appropriate) C organism not found/identified C Examination not done C Sterile examination Group Organism Resistance Anaerobic bacilli Enteroocccus faecalis Oxacillin sensitive Enterobacteriaceae Enterococcus spectime Oxacillin resistant Fungi Enterococcus sp. (Not specified) Resistant to glycopeptides Gram negative bacilli Enterococcus sp. (Not specified) Unknown Gram positive bacilli Other Congulase negative staphylococci (CNS) Other cosque cocci | | | | | |
| 3. Select the causative organism (with resistance, if appropriate) C organism not found/identified C Examination not done C Sterile examination C Identified below Group Organism Resistance Anaerobic bacilli Enterococcus faecalis Enterococcus faecalis Coxacillin resistant Enterococcus sp. (Not specified) Cram positive bacilli Other coagulase negative steptococcae (C.G) | | | | | |
| 3. Select the causative organism (with resistance, if appropriate) C Organism not found/identified C Examination not done C Sterile examination Group Organism Resistance Anaerobic bacilli Enterococcus faeculis Oxacillin sensitive Enterococcus faeculis Enterococcus faeculis Oxacillin resistant Fungi Enterococcus sequence Oxacillin resistant Gram negative bacilli Enterococcus sequence Unknown Gram positive bacilli Other Gram positive stephylococci (CNS) Other haemolytic streptococcae (C.G) Other baceria Strept//coccus at cus | | | | | |
| 3. Select the causative organism (with resistance, if appropriate) C organism not found/identified C Examination not done C Sterile examination C Identified below C organism Resistance Anaerobic bacilli Enterococcus faeculus Enterococcus faeculum C vacillin resistant Enterococcus sp. (Not specified) Cram negative bacilli Enterococcus sp. (Not specified) Cram negative bacilli C ther coccus sp. (Not specified) Cram negative bacilli Other coccus sp. (Not specified) Core of the coccus sp. (Coccus sp. (C | | | | | |
| 3. Select the causative organism (with resistance, if appropriate) C organism not found/identified C Examination not done C Sterile examination C Identified below Organism C Examination not done C Sterile examination C Identified below Organism C Conservation C Sterile examination C Identified below Organism Conservation C Sterile examination C Identified below C Identified below Conservation C Organism Conservation C Organism Conservation C Identified below Conservation C Identified below Conservation C Identified below Conservation C Identified below Conservation Conservatin Conservation Conservatin Conservation Conserva | | | | | |
| 3. Select the causative organism (with resistance, if appropriate) C organism not found/identified C Examination not done C Sterile examination Group Organism Resistance Anaerobic bacilli Enterococcus faecalis Oxacillin sensitive Enterobacteriaceae Enterococcus sp. (Not specified); Resistant olycopeptides Gram negative bacilli Enterococcus sp. (Not specified); Resistant olycopeptides Gram negative bacilli Enterococcus sp. (Not specified); Resistant olycopeptides Gram negative bacilli Other coagulase negative staphylococi (CNS); Other coagulase negative staphylococi (CNS); Other haemolytic streptococcae (C.G;) Other haemolytic streptococcae (C.G;) Image: Staphylococcus art etts Causative organism: Staphylococcus aureus (unk) | | | | | |

95. The dataset has been run as a pilot program by 5 ICUs for periods of 2 to 3 months. The objectives being to evaluate

- Ward Watcher as a tool for collecting HAI surveillance data in the ICU
- the applicability of the HELICS methodology for surveillance of HAI in ICUs in Scotland
- the resources required to collect HAI surveillance data
- the feasibility of accessing Daily Defined Doses (DDD) data from ICU pharmacists throughout Scotland

The data have been analysed. Some preliminary results and feedback from the ICUs involved, is presented below.

96. During the pilot period, data were collected on all ICU admissions regardless of length of stay. Data were collected on pneumonia (PN), blood stream infection (BSI) and CVC-related infection (CVC-RI). The pneumonia definition was adapted to allow the diagnosis of pneumonia without consecutive chest X-rays. This was a pragmatic decision as it appeared few ICUs performed chest X-rays frequently enough to meet this criterion.



97. All pilot sites have the ability to report PN3-5. Only some sites provide the quantitative microbiological results required for PN1-2. Sputum and non-quantitative lower respiratory tract specimens are used most frequently for diagnosing pneumonia, thus PN4 will be most frequently diagnosed.

98. Both BSI and CVC-RI definitions can be easily applied by all sites. Similar limitations in the provision of quantitative data mean that the CVC-RI may be limited to CRI3 (non-quantitative results) at many sites.

99. A total of 386 patients were admitted to the 5 pilot sites, 52% (199) of whom stayed in ICU for at least 2 days. The following figures relating to infections are preliminary and subject to change following quality checking by HPS:

- 44 infections were diagnosed using the criteria (BSI = 11.4%, PN = 68.2%, CVC = 20.5%)
- for all pilot sites, the overall infection rate was 30.5 infections (95% CI 22.2-40.9) per 1000 patient days.

100. The feedback from the pilot sites was largely positive. Pilot participants reported that the definitions were easy to apply. Data collection was felt to be easy and straightforward and not time-consuming – approximately 5 minutes per patient per day. Some minor improvements were suggested that would improve the system.

101. Once installed in all units wishing to participate, it is intended to perform Scotland-wide surveillance. This would run for a 3 or 6-month period and could be repeated at some point in the future.



102. The Scottish Adult Critical Care Pharmacists Network has collated DDD data relating to antibiotics from all ICUS in Scotland. This provides data on how much antibiotic is dispensed in a unit. It is limited to prescription rather than actual use of the antibiotic. Data on DDD were collated for the pilot sites over the pilot period for their unit. In due course it is hoped that this will mature into a system that will be able to track antibiotic use and antimicrobial resistance patterns for ICU in Scotland

103. A full report on the results of the pilot will be published shortly.



G. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.

The Project Director and Lead Clinician would like to thank the following key people:

- Medical, nursing and clerical staff who are responsible for data entry in addition to their routine duties.
- NHS Divisions which continue to fund the Scottish Intensive Care Society Audit Group.
- Annette Little and Karen Lorenzetti, Information Services, NHS Greater Glasgow.
- Louie Plenderleith, Consultant Anaesthetist, Western Infirmary, Glasgow; Malcolm Booth, Consultant Anaesthetist, Glasgow Royal Infirmary; Jodie McCoubrey, Epidemiologist, Health Protection Scotland and Alan Timmins, Principal Pharmacist, Queen Margaret Hospital, for their contributions to this report.
- All IT staff who maintain network communications enabling the *e*Bed Bureau to function.
- Kevin McInneny and Margaret MacLeod, Information Services Division, NHS Scotland.
- Brian Millar, Critical Care Audit Ltd., Yorkshire



H. REFERENCES.

- 1. Knaus WA, Draper EA, Wagner DP, Zimmerman JE. APACHE II: a severity of disease classification system. *Critical Care Medicine* 1985; **13**(10):818-29.
- Scottish Intensive Care Society Audit Group, Annual Report 2004, Scottish Intensive Care Society Audit Group, 2004. [online] Available at: <u>http://www.scottishintensivecare.org.uk</u> [Accessed 12 September 2005]
- Keene AR. Cullen DJ. Therapeutic Intervention Scoring System: update 1983. Critical Care Medicine 1983; 11(1):1-3.
- 4. Scottish Executive Health Department. *Better Critical Care Report of a Short-Life Working Group on ICU and HDU issues.* Scottish Executive Health Department, 2000.
- Harvey S, Harrison DA, Singer M, Ashcroft J, Jones CM, Elbourne D et al (on behalf of the PAC-Man study collaboration). Assessment of the clinical effectiveness of pulmonary artery catheters in management of patients in intensive care (PAC-Man): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2005; 366:472-77.
- Livingston BM, Mackenzie SJ, MacKirdy FN, Howie JC. Should the pre-sedation GCS value be used when calculating APACHE scores for sedated patients. *Critical Care Medicine* 2000; 28:389-94.
- Tunnell RD, Millar BW, Smith GB. The Effect of Lead Time Bias on Severity of Illness Scoring, Mortality Prediction and Standardised Mortality Ration in Intensive Care – a PILOT study. *Anaesthesia* 1998; 53:1045-53.
- Scottish Intensive Care Society Audit Group, Annual Report 2003, Scottish Intensive Care Society Audit Group, 2003. [online] Available at: <u>http://www.scottishintensivecare.org.uk</u> [Accessed 12 September 2005]
- Mohammed MA, Cheng KK, Rouse A, Marshall T. Bristol, Shipman and clinical governance: Shewhart's forgotten lessons. *Lancet* 2001; 357:463-67.
- Adab P, Rouse AM, Mohammed MA, Marshall T. Performance league tables: the NHS deserves better. *British Medical Journal* 2002; **324**:95-8.



- 11. Yeung S, MacLeod M. Using run charts and control charts to monitor quality in healthcare. [online] Available from: <u>www.show.scot.nhs.uk/indicators/Tutorial/TUTORIAL_GUIDE_V4.pdf</u> [Accessed 12 September 2005]
- 12. Clinical Indicators Support Team, NHS Scotland. Statistical Process Control Material. [online] Available from: <u>http://www.show.scot.nhs.uk/indicators/tutorial/main.htm</u> [Accessed 12 September 2005]
- Sytsma S, Manley K. Common Control Chart Cookbook. [online] Available from: <u>http://www.sytsma.com/tqmtools/charts.html</u> [Accessed 12 September 2005]



I. APPENDICES.

Appendix I. Publications, Reports and Abstracts.

PUBLICATIONS

Walsh TS, Garrioch M, Maciver C, Lee RJ, MacKirdy F, McClelland DB, Kinsella J, Wallis C, for the Audit of Transfusion in Intensive Care in Scotland (ATICS) Study Group. Red cell requirements for intensive care units adhering to evidence-based transfusion guidelines. *Transfusion* 2004; **44**(10):1405-1411.

Hughes M, MacKirdy FN, Ross J, Norrie J, Grant IS. Acute respiratory distress syndrome: an audit of incidence and outcome in Scottish intensive care units. *Anaesthesia* 2003; **58**(9):838.

Noble S, MacKirdy FN, Ross J, Howie JC, Mackenzie SJ. A survey of the structure and process of renal replacement therapy in Scottish ICUs. *British Journal of Intensive Care* 2001; **11**(2):47:50.

Hughes M, MacKirdy FN, Norrie J, Grant IS. Outcome of long-stay intensive care patients. *Intensive Care Medicine* 2001; **27**:779-782.

Noble JS, MacKirdy FN, Donaldson SI, Howie JC. Renal and respiratory failure in Scottish ICUs. *Anaesthesia* 2001; **56**:24-129.

Woods AW, MacKirdy FN, Livingston BM, Norrie J, Howie JC. Evaluation of predicted and actual length of stay in 22 Scottish intensive care units using the APACHE III system. *Anaesthesia* 2000; **55**:1058-1065.

Mackenzie SJ, Kendrick S, Howie JC. Current Opinion in Critical Care 2000; 6:181-6.

Livingston BM, MacKirdy FN, Howie JC, Jones R, Norrie JD. Assessment of the performance of five intensive care scoring models within a large Scottish database. *Critical Care Medicine* 2000; **28**:1820-1827.

Livingston BM, Mackenzie SJ, MacKirdy FN, Howie JC. Should the pre-sedation GCS value be used when calculating APACHE scores for sedated patients. *Critical Care Medicine* 2000; **28**:389-394.

MacKirdy FN, Howie JC. The value of the pulmonary artery catheter. *British Journal of Intensive Care* 1999; **9**(3):98.

SCOTTISH INTENSIVE CARE SOCIETY AUDIT GROUP REPORTS

- Scottish Intensive Care Society Audit Group. Annual Report 2004. SICSAG. [accessed at: http://www.scottishintensivecare.org.uk/sicsag_report.htm]
- Scottish Intensive Care Society Audit Group. Annual Report 2003. SICSAG. [accessed at: <u>http://www.scottishintensivecare.org.uk/sicsag_report.htm]</u>

Scottish Intensive Care Society Audit Group. *Annual Report 2002*. SICSAG. [accessed at: <u>http://www.scottishintensivecare.org.uk/SICSAG_AR2002.pdf</u>]



Scottish Intensive Care Society Audit Group. Clinical Effectiveness Programme 99/50: Development of Quality Assurance and Audit Systems of Intensive Care Units in Scotland. Final Report to CRAG. April 2002. SICSAG, Glasgow.

Scottish Intensive Care Society Audit Group. *The Implementation of Audit in High Dependency Units in Scotland. February 2002.* SICSAG.

[accessed at: http://www.scottishintensivecare.org.uk/SICSAG_HDU2002.pdf]

- Scottish Intensive Care Society Audit Group. *Annual Report 2000.* SICSAG. [accessed at: http://www.scottishintensivecare.org.uk/REPORT2001/index.htm]
- Scottish Intensive Care Society Audit Group. *Annual Report 1999*. SICSAG. [accessed at: http://www.scottishintensivecare.org.uk/sicsag_report_1999.htm]
- Scottish Intensive Care Society Audit Group. *Annual Report 1998*. SICSAG. [accessed at: <u>http://www.scottishintensivecare.org.uk/sicsag_report_1998.htm</u>]

Scottish Intensive Care Society Audit Group. Annual Report 1997. SICSAG, Glasgow.

ABSTRACTS

Mackenzie S, Patterson L, Plenderleith L, MacKirdy F. Use of national guidelines for the introduction of Drotrecogin alfa (activated). *Critical Care* 2004, **8**(S1):P121

24th International Symposium on Intensive Care and Emergency Medicine, March 2004.

MacKirdy F, Harris G, Mackenzie SJ. The epidemiology of sepsis in Scottish Intensive Care Units. *Critical Care* 2003; 7(S2):P027

23rd International Symposium on Intensive Care and Emergency Medicine, March 2003.

Booth M, Murray S, Plenderleith L, Howie C, MacKirdy F. Effect of socioeconomic deprivation on intensive care mortality. *Critical Care* 2003, **7**(S2):P233

23rd International Symposium on Intensive Care and Emergency Medicine, March 2003

Daniel M, Stuart P, MacKirdy F, Norrie. How good are ICU doctors at predicting hospital outcome? *European Journal of Anaesthiology* 2003; **20**(S30):A608.

Walsh TS, Lee RJ, Maciver C, Garrioch M, McClleland DBL. Does the presence of known ischaemic heart disease influence transfusion decisions in critically ill patients? *British Journal of Anaesthesia* 2003; **90**(4):533P.

Maciver C, Walsh TS, Lee RJ, MacKirdy F, Garrioch M, McClleland DBL. Pre-transfusion haemoglobin concentration in critically ill patients: prospective cohort study in Scottish Intensive Care Units (ICUs). *Vox Sanguinis* 2002; **83**(S2):134.

Garrioch M, Walsh TS, Maciver C, McClelland DB. Red blood cell use in Intensive Care in Scotland (the ATICS study). *Transfusion Medicine* 2002; **12**(S1):6.

M.A.Staber, F.McKirdy, S.Williamson, A.R.Binning. Six-year review of self-poisoning admissions to Scottish intensive care units. *Intensive Care Medicine* 2002, **28**(S1):133.

MacKirdy FN, Davidson JA & Mackenzie SJ. The extent and effect of sepsis in the first 24 hours of Intensive Care in one Country. *Critical Care* 2002; **6**(S1):242.

22nd International Symposium on Intensive Care and Emergency Medicine, March 2002



Hughes M, MacKirdy FN, Norrie J, Ross J, Grant I. A prospective, observational study of ARDS in Scottish Intensive Care Units. *Anesthesiology* 2001; **95**:A369.

First prize in Free Paper section, UK Intensive Care Society Annual Meeting, December 2000.

Hughes M, Hilditch G, MacKirdy FN, I. Lang I, Plenderleith L. Safety of interhospital transport of critically ill patients. *British Journal of Anaesthesia* 2000; **84**(5):685-686.

Hilditch G, Hughes M, MacKirdy FN, I. Lang I, Plenderleith L. Demographics of critically ill transferred patients. *British Journal of Anaesthesia*, 2000; **84**(5):685

MacKirdy FN, Hughes M, Ross J, Grant I. A prospective, observational study of ARDS in a cohort of patients in Scottish ICUs. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine* 2000; **161**(3):A382. 2000.

American Lung Association/American Thoracic Society International Conference, Toronto, May 2000.

Noble JS, MacKirdy FN, Donaldson S, Howie JC. Outcome in patients requiring combined renal and respiratory support in Scottish ICUs over a 2-year period. *Nephrology, Dialysis and Transplantation* 1999; **14**:2062-63.

Scottish Renal Association Annual Meeting, December 1998

Selby C, Livingston M, MacKirdy F, Murphy D, Howie C. Asthma on intensive care units in Scotland. *Thorax.* 1998; **53**(S4):76A.

Woods AW, MacKirdy FN, Livingston BM, Howie JC. The evaluation of length of stay in 22 Scottish ICUs using the APACHE III data. *British Journal of Anaesthesia* 1998; **81**:813P. UK Intensive Care Society Spring Meeting, May 1998

Noble JS, MacKirdy FN, Donaldson S, Howie JC. An audit of mortality for combined renal and respiratory failure in Scottish ICUs. *British Journal of Anaesthesia* 1998; **81**:820-821P. UK Intensive Care Society Spring Meeting, May 1998

Mackenzie SJ, Livingston B, MacKirdy F, Howie J. The use of pre-sedated GCS improves the performance of APACHE III. *Clinical Intensive Care* 1997; **8**(2):9.

First prize in Free Paper section, UK Intensive Care Society Annual Meeting, November 1997

MacKirdy FN, Livingston BM, Howie JC. The relationship between the presence of pulmonary artery catheters and case mix adjusted outcome of patients admitted to Scottish Intensive Care Units. *Clinical Intensive Care* 1997; **8**(2):97.

UK Intensive Care Society Spring Meeting, May 1997

Livingston B, MacKirdy F, Millar B, Howie J, Jones R. An Assessment of intensive care scoring systems within a national audit. 9th European Congress on Intensive Care Medicine. 1996; 187-191.

MacKirdy F, Livingston B, Millar B, Howie J. The effects of errors in data collection on mortality prediction generation. 9th European Congress on Intensive Care Medicine. 1996; 187-191.



Appendix II. List of Scottish adult ICUs and the lead audit consultants during the period of reporting.

| Unit ID | Intensive Care Unit | Lead Audit Consultant |
|---------|---|-----------------------------|
| ARI | Aberdeen Royal Infirmary | Dr G Adey |
| Ayr | Ayr Hospital | Dr I Taylor |
| BGH | Borders General Hospital, Melrose | Dr NP Leary |
| СН | Crosshouse Hospital | Dr R White |
| DGRI | Dumfries & Galloway Royal Infirmary | Dr D Williams |
| FDRI | Falkirk & District Royal Infirmary | Dr H Robb |
| GRI | Glasgow Royal Infirmary | Dr MG Booth |
| HM | Hairmyres Hospital, East Kilbride | Dr D Allen / Dr V Watson |
| IRH | Inverclyde Royal Hospital, Greenock | Dr F Munro |
| MK | Monklands Hospital, Airdrie | Dr R MacKenzie |
| NW | Ninewells Hospital, Dundee | Dr AJ Shearer |
| PRI | Perth Royal Infirmary | Dr S Winship |
| QMH | Queen Margaret Hospital, Dunfermline | Dr R Savage / Dr P Nicholas |
| RM | Raigmore Hospital, Inverness | Dr I Skipsey / Dr S Hunter |
| RAH | Royal Alexandra Hospital, Paisley | Dr S Madsen |
| RIE | Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh | Dr B Cook |
| St. J | St. John's Hospital, Livingston | Dr M Fried |
| SRI | Stirling Royal Infirmary | Dr M Worsley |
| SH | Stobhill Hospital | Dr C Miller / Dr D Ure |
| SGH | General ICU, Southern General Hospital | Dr G Imrie |
| VOL | Vale of Leven DGH, Alexandria | Dr WR Easy |
| VHK | Victoria Hospital, Kirkcaldy | Dr C Wilson |
| VIG | Victoria Infirmary, Glasgow | Dr JC Howie |
| WGH | Western General Hospital, Edinburgh | Dr C. Wallis |
| WIG | Western Infirmary, Glasgow | Dr L Plenderleith |
| Wish | Wishaw General Hospital (Law Hospital until mid 2001) | Dr N Willis |